Effect of bio-fertilizer (AG) and brassinolide hormone on some growth parameters and total chlorophyll of two varieties of Medicago sativa L. plant

Wael Shakir Hameed Al-Jboory¹ Mahir Zeki Faysal Al-Shimary²

¹Department of Biology, College of Education for Pure Science (Ibn Al-Haitham), University of Baghdad/Iraq Email: wael.biologist91@gmail.com

Received: 11 May 2023 Accepted: 10 June 2023

Citation: Al-Jboory WSH, Al-Shimary MZF (2023) Effect of bio-fertilizer (AG) and brassinolide hormone on some growth parameters and total chlorophyll of two varieties of Medicago sativa L. plant. History of Medicine 9(2): 461–477. https://doi.org/10.17720/2409-5834.v9.2.2023.061

Abstract

The experiment was carried out in the field belonging to the Botanical Garden belonging to the Department of Biology, College of Education for Pure Sciences (Ibn Al-Haitham), University of Baghdad, for the growing season 2021-2022 to study the effect of bio-fertilizer (AG 8-1-9) in three concentrations (0, 3, 6) mg.L⁻¹ and brassinolide hormone in four concentrations (0, 2, 4, 6) mg. L⁻¹ and its interactions in some growth parameters and total chlorophyll of two varieties of Medicago sativa plant. The experiment was designed according to Randomized Complete Blocks Design (R.C.B.D.) with three replicates per treatment. The averages were compared using the least significant difference at the probability level (0.05), and the results showed the following:

The effect of brassinolide in different concentrations led to a significant increase in all studied traits, as it exceeded the concentration of 4 mg.L⁻¹ of brassinolide in both root length, dry weight, absolute growth rate, total chlorophyll content, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium percentages. The effect of the bio-fertilizer in different concentrations led to a significant increase in all the studied traits at a concentration of 3 mg.L⁻¹. All duel interactions had a significant effect on all studied traits with the superiority of the treatment (3, 4) mg.L⁻¹ in root length, dry weight, absolute growth rate, phosphorus percentage and leaf content of total chlorophyll, treatment of (3, 6) mg.L⁻¹ in nitrogen and potassium ratios, and superiority of the Indian variety in all studied traits. Triple interactions led to a significant increase in all studied traits.

Keywords:

Bio-fertilizer, Brassinolide, Medicago sativa plant, growth parameters, total chlorophyll.

Alfalfa (Medicago sativa) plant is one of the oldest perennial leguminous fodder crops in the world, It stays in the soil for a period of 3-4 years and is given a crop of green fodder with protein and nutrients (Al-Taie, 2015). this plant consists of a root system with long roots, leaves, stems, flowers and seeds. It is a perennial flowering plant that is classified as a leguminous crop. The root system of the alfalfa plant is very deep, strong and has several lateral roots, which helps the plant

penetrate the soil into deeper layers making it drought tolerant.

The leaves of the alfalfa plant are trifoliate. The middle layer has a short petiole, which is the main difference between alfalfa and clover, with sharply serrated leaflets on the upper third of the leaf edge. Alfalfa flowers may be yellow, blue, white or purple in color. The flowers are collected in a cluster or cluster in the form of inflorescences of the cluster type. Alfalfa is

²Department of Biology, College of Education for Pure Science (Ibn Al-Haitham), University of Baghdad/Iraq

pollinated by insects, in particular bees. It seeds have a kidney shape, vellowish-brown color and lightweight (Patra and Paul, 2019). Bio-fertilizers are a modern fertilization technique that is added in several different ways, including spraying them on the plant or irrigation water, treating the seeds with it, or adding them directly to the soil. The organism is useful for the plant, the most important of which are bacteria, which have an important role in improving plant growth characteristics in addition to their role in the analysis of organic matter and inhibition of the activity of pathogens in the soil, and it also symbiosis with the root nodes of nitrogen fixation (Woodward, 2003). The indiscriminate use of mineral fertilizers leads to the deposition of pollutants and minerals and exposes the soil to more qualitative loss, and causes damage to living organisms and the possibility of water pollution, and has negative effects on the health of plant production if reliance on mineral fertilizers in the future, and to get rid of this problem, researchers in countries of the world are moving to modern technologies that are safer and more environmentally friendly that lead to reducing environmental pollution, such as the use of bio-fertilizers. It has a role in providing nutrients to the plant such as nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, as well as organic acids, secreting some hormones and acids that act as regulators of plant growth, and secreting some antibiotics that participate in resisting some endemic diseases in the soil, and then benefit the plant and work to increase its production (Singh et al., 2020). It has a role in the analysis of organic matter and carbohydrates carrying negative charges, and then improves the characteristics of the soil and increases the stability of the aggregations, and plays a complementary role to add mineral fertilizers, as the role of mineral fertilizers emerges in the early stages of germination, then comes the role of bio-fertilizers, and causes a decrease in the emission of greenhouse gases and the development of low-carbon agriculture, as the manufacture of chemical nitrogen fertilizers produces an emission of nitrous oxide NO, which is one of the greenhouse gases, and reduces the effects stressful to low irrigation water (Fnca, 2014).

Brassinosteroids are steroid compounds with hormonal function have long been known in animals but their presence in plants has not been proven until 1970 Mitchell et al. (1970) was able to discover brassinosteroids by examining approximately 60 species of plant pollen, about half of which caused the growth of bean seedlings and was called growth-promoting substances from different pollen sources (Brassins). A number of researchers at the U.S. Agriculture ministry have been able to from the knowledge of the compound effective in stimulating plant growth within this group has been called Brassinolide (Rao et al., 2002).

Brassinosteroids are true phyto-steroid hormones with effective effect and very low concentrations, and their effect similar to animal steroid hormones has recently been observed, as eating watercress (Eruca sativa) from the Brassicaceae family has an effect on increasing the proportion of male hormones and increasing effectiveness (Premalatha et al., 2012; Hussein, 2013). The study aims to find out the effect of bio-fertilizer and the brassinolide hormone in improving growth parameters and total chlorophyll for two varieties of alfalfa plant.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out in the field of the Botanical Garden belonging to the Department of Biology, College of Education for Pure Sciences (Ibn Al-Haitham), University of Baghdad, for the growing season 2021-2022 to study the effect of bio-fertilizer and brassinolide hormone and their interactions in some growth parameters and total chlorophyll for two varieties of Medicago sativa plant (local and Indian), the experiment was designed according to R.C.B.D. (Randomized Complete Blocks Design) and three replicates for each treatment. Samples were taken from the soil of the field before cultivating for the purpose of estimating the chemical and physical properties as shown in Table (1) according to the methods described by Page et al. (1982) as the analysis was carried out in the central laboratory of the Biology Department, College of Science, University of Baghdad. Tillage, smoothing and leveling operations were conducted, after which the experimental land was divided into

three replicates, each replicate contains 24 experimental units, and the area of one experimental unit was 2 m² and thus the total number of experimental units is 72 experimental units 2 m² as each variety of alfalfa plant took half the number of these units, and cultivated a plant in the form of straight lines the distance between each line of lines is 50 cm, as one experimental unit contains four lines. Neutral compound fertilizer NPK 20:20:20 was added to all experimental units before cultivating, then seeds were planted on 2/11/2021. According to the experiment coefficients, a number of plants (30 plants) were determined for each experimental unit for the purpose of study, and all crop service operations were carried out from irrigation, hoeing and weeding whenever needed until the end of the experiment.

The experiment was designed to study the effect of bio-fertilizer, brassinolide hormone and their interactions in some growth parameters and total chlorophyll for two varieties of alfalfa plant, as the treatments were organized in the Randomized Complete Blocks Design. Some growth paremeters have been studied, including:

1. Root length (cm)

The root length of five random plants per experimental unit was measured from its point of contact with the stem to the end of the main root by means of the inserted ruler.

2. Dry weight of the root and vegetative groups (g. plant-1)

Five plants with their roots were extracted randomly from the center of each experimental unit by adding water to the experimental units the day before the plants were taken off, and the root system was separated from the vegetative and then dried in an electric dryer at a temperature of 50-55 °C until the weight of each treatment was established, and the dry weights were recorded for both the root and vegetative total.

3. Absolute growth rate (g. day-1)

The absolute growth rate is the one that expresses the efficiency of the biological activities of the plant over a certain period of time, it was calculated based on the dry weight of both the root and vegetative total and for both harvests by applying the following Hunt (1978) equation:

Absolute Growth Rate = $\frac{W2 - W1}{T2 - T1}$

Whereas:

W₁ Dry weight of the first root and vegetative total (g)

W₂ Dry weight of the second root and vegetative total (g)

T₁ Plant age (day) first.

T₂ Plant age (day) second.

4. Estimation of nitrogen percentage (N%) in the vegetative total

Milled dried specimens were digested according to the method of Gresser and Parson (1979). The percentage of nitrogen in the vegetative total of a plant was estimated by the Kjeldahl method (Jackson, 1958) and the following equation was applied to calculate the nitrogen concentration:

N%=

 $\frac{H_2SO_4}{Sample}$ Volume Consumer × Acid Standardization ×14×Dilution Volume Sample volume taken at distillation × Weight of digested sample×1000

5. Estimation of phosphorus percentage (P%) in the vegetative total

Phosphorus was estimated using ammonium molybdate method and according to Olsen and Sommers (1982) by UV-visible spectrophotometer with wavelength 420 nm.

6. Estimation of potassium percentage (K%) in the vegetative total

The concentration of potassium in the vegetative system of the digested sample was estimated by the Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer of the manufacturer Perkin Elmer Model 5000 according to the method used before by Chapman and Pratt (1961).

7. Determination of total chlorophyll content in leaves

The total chlorophyll content in the leaves was estimated by the Goodwin method (1976).

The results were statistically analysed according to the design and the least significant difference was adopted at a probability level of 0.05 (SAS, 2012).

Table (1): Some chemical	and physical characteristics of the experiment soil before cultivating.	

Characteristic	cs	Value	Unite					
Chemical and fertility properties								
рН		7.50						
$EC_{1:1}$		2.3	dS.m ⁻¹					
Organic matter	•	2.3	%					
Available nitroger	ı %	23.3	mg. kg ⁻¹					
Available phosphor	us %	14.6						
Available potassiur	n %	355						
Dissolved positive ions	Calcium	4.5	Meq.L ⁻¹					
	Magnesium	3.7						
	Sodium	2.43						
Dissolved negative ions	Sulphate	5.22						
	Bicarbonates	1.3						
	Chlorides	4.3						
	Physical p	properties						
Soil separators	Clay	216	g. kg ⁻¹					
	Silt	420						
	Sand	364						
Texture	_		Loam					

Results and Discussion

Root length (cm)

The results of Table 2 showed a significant difference between the two varieties of alfalfa in the characteristic of the root length of a plant. The plants of the Indian variety outperformed the best average root length of 23.29 cm compared to the plants of the local variety. The difference between the two varieties of alfalfa in the characteristic of root length may be due to their genetic differences and the response of each to the study treatments. It is also noted from the results of Table 2 the significant difference between the concentrations of bio-fertilizer AG (0, 3 and 6) mg. L⁻¹ in the average root length of the alfalfa plant, where sprayed plants with a concentration of 3 mg.L⁻ recorded the best average trait length of 22.34 cm compared to control plants. Alsalim (2019) noted that the use of biofertilizer led to an increase in the root length of the Vicia faba plant. The superiority of sprayed plants at the concentration is 3 mg. L-1 in the root length characteristic of the plant may be due to the role of the bio-fertilizer in the formation of a dense root system, and this leads to a significant increase in the root length of the plant (Hartman, 2000a). It may also be due to the fact that the use of bio-fertilizer leads to an increase in the length of the root of the plant (Guleria et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2015) or to the role of the bio-fertilizer in increasing the growth of the root system of the plant and thus leads to an increase in the root length of the plant (Willer and Lernoud, 2019).

As for the effect of spraying the growth regulator brassinolide (BL), the results of Table 2 show

significant differences between the sprayed concentrations in the average root length of the plant, the sprayed plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg. L⁻¹ with the highest average trait length of 22.57 cm compared to control plants, and that this increase in root length is due to the role of brassinolide in increasing root growth (Sasse, 1994).

As for the bilateral interactions, the results of the same table show a significant interaction between the varieties and the bio-fertilizer in the average root length of the plant, as the Indian and local variety plants sprayed with a concentration of 3 mg.L⁻¹ was recorded best average interaction was 24.68 and 20.00 cm with a significant difference between them respectively compared to control plants. The interaction between varieties and the spray of the growth regulator brassinolide was significant and the plants of the Indian variety sprayed with a concentration of 4 mg.L⁻¹ and the local variety with a concentration of 2 mg.L⁻¹ were distinguished by the best average interference of (25.25 and 19.93) cm respectively compared to control plants. The interaction between bio-fertilizer and brassinolide was also significant in the average root length of the plant, as the sprayed plants were given a concentration of 3 mg.L⁻¹ of bio-fertilizer and 4 mg. L⁻¹ of brassinolide best average interaction of 23.34 cm compared to control plants. While the triple interaction between the study factors, the results indicate a significant overlap between the studies factors in the root length trait (Table 2), the Indian variety plants sprayed with a concentration of 3 mg.L⁻¹ were exceeded of bio-fertilizer and 4 mg.L⁻¹ of brassinolide with the highest average interaction of 26.19 cm compared to control plants.

Table 2: Effect of variety, bio-fertilizer and brassinolide and their interactions on root length (cm) of two
varieties of alfalfa plant.

Verities (V)	Bio-fertilizer concentrations	Bro	ssinolide cor (mg	Effect of mean bilateral interaction		
	(AG) (mg.L-1)	0	2	4	6	AG ×V
Indian	0	15.74	21.10	24.64	23.69	21.29
	3	22.72	25.09	26.19	24.71	24.68
	6	23.31	24.73	24.91	22.58	23.88
Local	0	13.48	18.30	19.46	18.98	17.56
	3	18.85	21.22	20.49	19.44	20.00
	6	17.95	20.26	19.70	17.92	18.96
LS	SD(0.05)		1.	01		0.51
		Bilatera	l interaction Bl	$\mathbb{L} \times \mathbf{V}$		
Ve	rities (V)	I	Brassinolide co	ncentration (Bl	<u></u>	Effect of mean variety
			(mg			
		0	2	4	6	
	Indian	20.59	23.64	25.25	23.66	23.29
	Local	16.76	19.93	19.88	18.78	18.84
LS	LSD(0.05)		0.	58		0.29
		Bilateral	interaction BL	×AG		
Bio-fe	rtilizer (AG)	I	Brassinolide co	ncentration (Bl	_)	Effect of mean bio-
			(mg	;.L ⁻¹)		fertilizer
		0	2	4	6	
	0	14.61	19.70	22.05	21.34	19.43
	3	20.79	23.15	23.34	22.08	22.34
	6	20.63	22.50	22.31	20.25	21.42
LS	SD(0.05)	0.72			0.36	
Effect of n	nean brassinolide	18.67	21.78	22.57	21.22	
LS	SD(0.05)		0.	41		

Dry weight of plant (g. plant-1)

The results of Table 3 indicated that there is a significant difference between the two varieties of alfalfa plant in the average dry weight of the plant, the plants of the Indian variety outperformed the highest average dry weight of 26.34 g plant⁻¹ compared to the plants of the local variety, that the difference between the two varieties of alfalfa plant in dry weight may be due to the variation of genetic material for both varieties. It is also reported from the results of Table 3 the significant difference between the concentrations of biofertilizer AG (0, 3 and 6) mg. L⁻¹ in the dry weight of the alfalfa plant, as the sprayed plants contained a concentration of 3 mg. L-1 is the highest average dry weight average of 25.94 g plant⁻¹ compared to control plants. These results are consistent with Al-Oasy and Al-Shammari (2022a) who were recorded that the use of bio-fertilizer led to a significant increase in the dry weight of the fenugreek plant.

Mahmood et al. (2022) also satated that the use of biofertilizer led to a significant increase in the dry weight of the plant. The reason for the superiority in dry weight may be due to the bio-fertilizer content of Bacillus bacteria, which have the high ability of Bacillus bacteria to dissolve phosphorus through the secretion of many organic acids in addition to increasing the availability of some nutrients, especially macroelements (Sharma et al., 2012). This effect is thus reflected in the increased growth of the root system and its increased absorption of nutrients and water, which contributed to the increase in plant growth, through an increase in the dry weight of the plant. This may also be belonged to the increase in the amount of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium supplied through effectiveness of the bio-fertilizer, as it converts these elements into amino acids and compounds that the plant uses in the formation of tissues, and then the formation of vegetative plant growth for the alfalfa plant. It is also consistent with the results Rugheim et al. (2014) in fenugreek plant. The reason for the superiority in dry weight is also due to the role of the bio-fertilizer in increasing vegetative growth, as the bio-fertilizer works to increase and stimulate vegetative growth characteristics as a result of its secretion of chelating compounds (iron carriers, which bind and transport iron in microorganisms) Siderophores (Adesemoye and Kloepper, 2009).

The bio-fertilizer works to stimulate plant growth through the secretion of plant growth regulators, which work in accordance with other mechanisms, including increasing the availability of nutrients and then absorbing them by the plant and this is reflected positively in increasing plant growth and soft weight (Harman, 2000) and thus increasing the dry weight of the plant. As for the effect of spraying the growth regulator brassinolide (BL). the results of Table 3 show significant differences between the sprayed concentrations in the dry weight of the plant. the sprayed plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg,L⁻¹ with the highest average trait of 26.77 g. plant⁻¹ compared to control plants, Nasralla et al. (2015) noted that the use of brassinolide led to significant differences in the dry weight of callus of buckwheat, the increase in average dry weight due to the addition of brassinolide may be due to increased photosynthesis efficiency, which leads to an increase in the net CO represented in the leaf, which is the basic unit of carbohydrate synthesis (Mahgoub et al., 2006). As for bilateral interactions, the results of the same table show a significant interaction between the varieties and the bio-fertilizer in the average dry weight, where the Indian and local variety plants sprayed with a concentration of 3 mg.L⁻¹ were recorded best average interaction were 28.43 and 23.44 g.plant⁻¹ and a significant difference between them respectively compared to control plants. The interaction between varieties and spraying of the growth regulator brassinolide was significant and the sprayed Indian and local variety plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg. L⁻¹ with the highest average interaction of (29.43 and 24.11) g.plant⁻¹ respectively compared to control plants. The interaction between biofertilizer and brassinolide was also significant in the average dry weight of the plant; the sprayed plants were given a concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ of bio-enriched and 4 mg. L⁻¹ of brassinolide highest average interaction of 28.62 g.plant⁻¹ compared to control plants. While the results of triple interaction between the study factors indicate a significant interaction between the study factors in the dry weight of the plant (Table 3), the Indian variety plants sprayed with a concentration of 3 mg.L⁻¹ excelled of bio-fertilizer and 4 mg.L-1 of brassinolide with the highest average interaction ratio of 31.50 g.plant⁻¹ compared to control plants.

Table 3: Effect of variety, bio-fertilizer, brassinolide and their interactions on plant dry weight g. plant-1 of two alfalfa plant varieties.

Verities (V)	Bio-fertilizer concentrations (AG)	Bro	assinolide cor (mg	ncentration (.L-1)	(BL)	Effect of mean bilateral
	(mg.L-1)	0	2	4	6	interaction AG ×V
Indian	0	16.91	23.39	28.28	26.53	23.78
	3	25.27	28.99	31.50	27.97	28.43
	6	26.87	27.02	28.50	24.88	26.82
Local	0	12.59	22.03	21.46	19.55	18.91
	3	20.20	24.92	25.75	22.89	23.44
	6	22.93	24.19	25.13	18.92	22.79
L	SD(0.05)		1.	18		0.59
	•	Bilatera	interaction BL	$\times V$		<u>.</u>
V	erities (V)		Effect of mean variety			
		(mg.L ⁻¹)				
		0	2	4	6	
	Indian	23.02	26.47	29.43	26.46	26.34
	Local	18.57	23.72	24.11	20.45	21.71
L	SD(0.05)		0.34			
		Bilateral	interaction BL	× AG		•
Bio-f	ertilizer (AG)	,	Effect of mean bio-			
			fertilizer			
		0	2	(.L ⁻¹)	6	
	0	14.75	22.71	24.87	23.04	21.34
	3	22.73	26.96	28.62	25.43	25.94
	6	24.90	25.61	26.82	21.90	24.81
I	SD(0.05)		1	83	ı	0.42
	mean brassinolide	20.79	25.09	26.77	23.46	
	SD(0.05)		1	48	1	
	` /					

Absolute growth rate (g.plant-1.day-1)

The results of Table 4 indicated a significant difference between the two categories of alfalfa in the absolute growth rate of a plant, the plants of the Indian variety outperformed the highest average in the absolute growth rate of 0.1722 g.plant⁻¹.day⁻¹ and an increase of 8.09% compared to the plants of the local variety. It is also recorded from the results of Table 4 the significant difference between the concentrations of bio-fertilizer AG (0, 3 and 6) mg. L⁻¹ in the absolute growth rate of a plant. The sprayed plants contained a concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ the highest average absolute growth rate of 0.1695 g.plant⁻¹.day⁻¹ with an increase of 14.51% compared to control plants, the increase in the absolute growth rate as a result of the addition of biofertilizer is due to its positive role in increasing dry weight as discussed pervious (Table 3) and thus increasing the absolute growth rate of the plant. As for the effect of spraying the growth regulator brassinolide (BL), the results of Table 4 showed significant differences between the sprayed concentrations in the absolute growth rate of the plant, the sprayed plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg.L⁻¹ with the best trait rate of 0.1750 g.plant⁻¹.day⁻¹ and an increase of 54.83% compared to control plants. Al-Shammry and Al-Halfi (2017) observed that spraying the hormone brassinolide led to a significant increase in the absolute growth rate of the coriander plant. This increase in the absolute growth rate is a result of the dry weight increase as discussed pervious (Table 3) and this is positively reflected in the increase in the absolute growth rate. As for bilateral interactions, the results of the same table show a significant interaction between the varieties and the bio-fertilizer in the average absolute growth rate. The Indian and local variety plants sprayed with a concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ were recorded best average interference was 0.1858 and 0.1532 g plant 1.day with significant differences respectively compared to control plants. The interaction between varieties and spraying of the growth regulator was significant and the sprayed Indian and local variety plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg. L⁻¹ with the highest mean interaction of (0.1923 and 0.1576) g.plant⁻¹.day⁻¹ respectively compared to control plants. The interaction of bio-fertilizer and brassinolide was also significant in the average absolute growth rate of a plant, the sprayed plants were given a concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ of bio-fertilizer and 4 mg. L⁻¹ of brassinolide highest average interaction was 0.1871 g.plant⁻¹.day⁻¹ with an increase of 95.01% compared to control plants. As for the triple interaction between the study factors, the results indicate a significant interaction between the study factors in the absolute growth rate (Table 4), the Indian variety plants sprayed with a concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ excelled of bio-fertilizer and 4 mg.L⁻¹ of brassinolide with the highest average interaction of 0.2059 g.plant⁻¹.day⁻¹ compared to control plants.

Table 4: Effect of variety, bio-fertilizer and brassinolide hormone and their interactions on the absolute growth rate g-1.plant-1. day-1 of two alfalfa plant varieties.

Verities (V)	Bio-fertilizer concentrations	Bro	ssinolide cor mg)	Effect of mean bilateral interaction		
	(AG) (mg.L-1)	0	2	4	6	AG ×V
Indian	0	0.1105	0.1529	0.1849	0.1734	0.1554
	3	0.1651	0.1895	0.2059	0.1828	0.1858
	6	0.1756	0.1766	0.1863	0.1626	0.1753
Local	0	0.0823	0.1440	0.1403	0.1278	0.1236
	3	0.1320	0.1629	0.1683	0.1496	0.1532
	6	0.1499	0.1581	0.1643	0.1236	0.1490
LS	SD(0.05)		0.0	077		0.0039
		Bilatera	l interaction BI	$\mathbb{L} \times \mathbf{V}$		
Ve	rities (V)	I	Brassinolide cor	ncentration (Bl	L)	Effect of mean variety
			(mg	L ⁻¹)		
		0	2	4	6	
	Indian	0.1504	0.1730	0.1923	0.1729	0.1722
	Local	0.1214	0.1550	0.1576	0.1337	0.1419
LS	SD(0.05)		0.0	045		0.0022
		Bilateral	interaction BL	×AG		
Bio-fe	rtilizer (AG)	I	Brassinolide cor	ncentration (Bl	L)	Effect of mean bio-
			(mg	L ⁻¹)		fertilizer
		0	2	4	6	
	0	0.0964	0.1484	0.1626	0.1506	0.1395
	3	0.1486	0.1762	0.1871	0.1662	0.1695
	6	0.1628	0.1674	0.1753	0.1431	0.1621
LS	SD(0.05)	0.0054				0.0027
Effect of n	nean brassinolide	0.1359	0.1640	0.1750	0.1533	
LS	SD(0.05)		0.0	031		

Nitrogen percentage (%) in the vegetative total of the plant

The results of Table 5 indicated that there is a significant difference between the two types of alfalfa plant in the average percentage of nitrogen in the plant, as the plants of the Indian variety outperformed the highest average percentage of nitrogen in the plant was 1.935% compared to the plants of the local variety. It is also noted from the results of Table 5 the significant difference between the concentrations of bio-fertilizer AG (0, 3 and 6) mg. L⁻¹ in the average nitrogen content of the alfalfa plant, as the sprayed plants contained a concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ has the highest average nitrogen content of 1.973% compared to control plants, as reported by Mahmood et al. (2022) that the use of bio-fertilizer led to a significant increase in the percentage of nitrogen in the plant. Allawi and Drefel (2016) also registered that the use of bio-fertilizer led to a significant increase in the percentage of nitrogen in the plant. These results are consistent with AL-Qasy and Al-Shammari (2022b), who noted that the use of biofertilizers led to a significant increase in the protein percentage of fenugreek plants, and this increase in protein percentage is due to the superiority in the nitrogen percentage of the plant. The superiority of sprayed plants in the concentration is 3 mg. L⁻¹ may be due to the role of the bio-fertilizer in increasing root growth as discussed pervious (Table 2), which works to increase the plant's ability to absorb nutrients, including nitrogen, it may also be due to the role of the bio-fertilizer in providing nutrients to the plant, including nitrogen (Singh et al., 2020). It may also be attributed to the role of bio-fertilizer in stimulating plant growth by secreting enzymes and growth regulators that help increase the growth of the root system and this leads to an increase in the surface area for absorption of nutrients, including nitrogen and other nutrients (Yadegari, 2008). As for the effect of spraying the growth regulator brassinolide (BL), the results of Table 5 show significant differences between the sprayed concentrations in the average percentage of nitrogen in the plant, the sprayed plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg. L⁻¹ with the highest average trait ratio of 1.971% compared to control plants. Al-Halfi and Al-Shammry (2017) showed that spraying the hormone brassinolide led to a significant increase in the nitrogen ratio of the coriander plant. Plants sprayed with a concentration of more than 4 mg. L⁻¹ is due to the role of brassinolide in increasing root growth as discussed pervious (Table 2), which increases the plant's ability to absorb nutrients, including nitrogen. The action of brassinolide is positively reflected in increasing the concentration of nitrogen in the vegetative total (Bera et al., 2008). Verma et al. (2009) reported that a number of growth brassinolide, regulators, including affect the physiological characteristics of crops such as stimulating the absorption of mineral ions, in addition to that brassinolide helps in increasing the absorption of mineral elements from the soil, and this leads to an increase in the nitrogen content of leaves in plants sprayed with brassinolide, which can be due to the high absorption of inorganic nitrogen such as nitrates from the soil and its metabolism (El-Khallal et al., 2009). It may also be due to the role of the hormone brassinolide is one of the steroids that have the ability to retain water as it has the ability to bind with polyamines and lead to an increase in the osmosis of cells in favor of the entry of water and nutrients, as well as that the glucobrasscin compound from the metabolism of brassinosteroids and this compound metabolizes auxin, which increases the growth of the root system and then increases the absorption of nutrients, including nitrogen (Hayat and Ahmad, 2011; Ross and Quittenden, 2016).

As for bilateral interactions, the results of the same table show a significant interaction between the varieties and the bio-fertilizer in the average percentage of nitrogen in the plant, as the Indian and local variety plants sprayed with concentrations of 3 and 6 mg.L⁻¹ were achieved the highest average interference ratio of (2.101 and 1.930)% with a significant difference between them sequentially compared to control plants.

The interaction between varieties and spraying of the growth regulator brassinolide was significant and the sprayed Indian and local variety plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg. L⁻¹ with the highest average interaction ratio of (2.061 and 1.881) % respectively. The interaction between bio-fertilizer and brassinolide was also significant in the average percentage of nitrogen in the plant, the sprayed plants gave the concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ of bio-enriched and 6 mg. L⁻¹ of brassinolide has the highest average

interaction ratio of 2.053% compared to control plants. As for the triple interaction between the study factors, the results indicate a significant interaction between the study factors in the percentage of nitrogen in the plant (Table 5), the plants of the Indian variety sprayed with a concentration of 3 mg. L^{-1} outweighed of biofertilizer and 4 mg. L^{-1} of brassinolide with the highest average interaction of 2.252% compared to control plants.

Table 5: Effect of variety, bio-fertilizer, brassinolide and their interactions on the percentage of nitrogen in the vegetative total (%) of two alfalfa plant varieties.

Verities (V)	Bio-fertilizer concentrations	Effect of mean bilateral interaction				
	(AG) (mg.L-1)	0	2	.L-1)	6	AG ×V
Indian	0	1.612	1.744	1.934	1.827	1.779
	3	1.935	2.052	2.252	2.164	2.101
	6	1.775	1.870	1.996	2.056	1.924
Local	0	1.402	1.574	1.743	1.634	1.588
	3	1.686	1.900	1.850	1.941	1.844
	6	1.778	1.912	2.049	1.982	1.930
LS	SD(0.05)		0.0	078		0.039
		Bilatera	l interaction BI	$\mathbb{L} \times \mathbf{V}$		•
Ve	rities (V)	I	Brassinolide cor	ncentration (B)	L)	Effect of mean variety
		0	2	4	6	
]	Indian	1.774	1.889	2.061	2.015	1.935
	Local	1.622	1.795	1.881	1.852	1.788
LS	SD(0.05)		0.023			
		Bilateral	interaction BL	× AG		
Bio-fe:	rtilizer (AG)	I	Effect of mean bio-			
			(mg	L^{-1}		fertilizer
		0	2	4	6	
	0	1.507	1.659	1.839	1.731	1.684
	3	1.811	1.976	2.051	2.053	1.973
	6	1.776	1.891	2.023	2.019	1.927
LS	SD(0.05)	0.055				0.028
Effect of m	nean brassinolide	1.698	1.842	1.971	1.934	
LS	SD(0.05)		0.0	032	•	

Phosphorus percentage (%) in the vegetative total of the plant

The results of Table 6 indicated a significant difference between the two varieties of alfalfa plant in

the average percentage of phosphorus in the plant, the plants of the Indian variety outperformed the highest average percentage of phosphorus in the plant amounting to 0.198% compared to the plants of the local variety. It is also stated from the results of Table

the significant difference between the concentrations of bio-fertilizer AG (0, 3 and 6) mg. L⁻ ¹ in the average phosphorus content in the alfalfa plant, as the sprayed plants contained a concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ highest average phosphorus content of 0.194% compared to control plants. Mahmood et al. (2022) also reported that the use of bio-fertilizer led to a significant increase in the percentage of phosphorus in the plant. Allawi and Drefel (2016) also reported that the use of bio-fertilizer led to a significant increase in the percentage of phosphorus in plants. The superiority of sprayed plants at the concentration 3 mg. L⁻¹ may be due to the role of the bio-fertilizer in increasing root growth as discussed pervious (Table 2), which increases the plant's ability to absorb nutrients, including phosphorus, and may also be due to the role of the bio-fertilizer in providing nutrients to the plant, including phosphorus (Singh et al., 2020). It is also due to the role of the bio-fertilizer, which contains Bacillus bacteria, which have a high ability to dissolve phosphorus, as they work to secrete organic acids that affect the lowering of soil pH, which increases the readiness of phosphorus for the plant (Sharma et al., 2012). These bacteria free phosphate ions from insoluble forms of phosphorus and convert them into ions dissolved in soil solution, in addition to increasing the availability of some other secondary elements in the soil (Adesemoye and Kloppr, 2009). It may also be belonged to the role of bio-fertilizer in stimulating plant growth through the secretion of enzymes and growth regulators that help increase the growth of the root system and lead to an increase in the surface area for absorption of nutrients, including phosphorus and other nutrients (Yadegari, 2008).

As for the effect of spraying the growth regulator brassinolide (BL), the results of Table 6 show the differences between sprayed significant concentrations in the average phosphorus percentage in the plant, the sprayed plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg. L⁻¹ with the highest average trait ratio of 0.198% compared to control plants. The plants sprayed with concentration 4 mg. L⁻¹ is exceed due to the role of brassinolide in increasing root growth as discussed previously (Table 2), which works to increase the plant's ability to absorb nutrients, including phosphorus. The action of brassinolide is positively reflected in the increase in the concentration of phosphorus in the vegetative total (Bera et al., 2008). Verma et al. (2009) reported that a number of growth regulators, including brassinolide, affect the physiological characteristics of crops such as stimulating the absorption of mineral ions, in addition to that brassinolide helps increase the absorption of mineral elements from the soil, and this leads to an increase in the rates of phosphorus in plants sprayed with brassinolide. It may also be belonged to the role of the brassinolide hormone is one of the steroids that have the ability to retain water as it has the ability to bind with polyamines and lead to an increase in the osmosis of cells in favor of the entry of water and nutrients, as well as that the glucobrasscin compound from the metabolism of brassinosteroids and this compound metabolizes auxin, which increases the growth of the root system and then increases the absorption of nutrients, including phosphorus (Havat Ahmad, 2011; Ross and Quittenden, 2016).

As for bilateral interactions, the results of the same table show a significant interaction between varieties and bio-fertilizer in the average phosphorus percentage in plants, as the Indian and local variety plants sprayed with concentrations of 3 and 6 mg.L⁻¹ were achieved the highest average interaction ratio were (0.219 and 0.182)% with a significant difference between them respectively compared to control plants. The interaction between varieties and spraying of the growth regulator was significant and the sprayed Indian and local variety plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg. L-1 with the highest average interaction ratio of (0.215 and 0.180) % respectively. The interaction between bio-fertilizer and brassinolide was also significant in the average phosphorus content in the plant, the sprayed plants were given a concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ of bio-enriched and 4 mg. L⁻ ¹ of brassinolide highest average interference ratio of 0.214% compared to control plants.

While the results of triple interaction between the study factors indicate a significant interaction between the study factors in the phosphorus content in the plant (Table 6). The Indian variety sprayed plants outperformed at a concentration 3 mg. L⁻¹ of biofertilizer and 4 mg.L⁻¹ of brassinolide with the highest average interaction of 0.245% compared to control plants.

Table 6: Effect of Variety, bio-fertilizer and brassinolide and their interactions on the percentage of
phosphorus in the vegetative total (%) of two alfalfa plant varieties

Verities (V)	Bio-fertilizer concentrations	Bro	ssinolide cor (mg	Effect of mean		
	(AG) (mg.L-1)	0	2	4	6	AG ×V
Indian	0	0.151	0.173	0.199	0.194	0.179
	3	0.188	0.231	0.245	0.211	0.219
	6	0.197	0.209	0.201	0.176	0.196
Local	0	0.131	0.163	0.168	0.149	0.153
	3	0.154	0.170	0.182	0.166	0.168
	6	0.167	0.197	0.189	0.175	0.182
LS	D(0.05)		0.0	066	•	0.0033
		Bilatera	l interaction BI	$\mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{V}$		_
Ve	rities (V)]	Effect of mean variety			
			(mg	(L^{-1})		
		0	2	4	6	
]	Indian	0.179	0.204	0.215	0.194	0.198
	Local	0.151	0.177	0.180	0.163	0.168
LS	LSD(0.05)		0.0	038		0.0019
		Bilateral	interaction BL	×AG		•
Bio-fe:	rtilizer (AG)]	Brassinolide cor	ncentration (B)	L)	Effect of mean bio-
			(mg	L^{-1}		fertilizer
		0	2	4	6	
	0	0.141	0.168	0.184	0.172	0.166
	3	0.171	0.201	0.214	0.188	0.194
	6	0.182	0.203	0.195	0.176	0.189
LS	D(0.05)	0.0047			0.0023	
Effect of m	nean brassinolide	0.165	0.191	0.198	0.178	
LS	D(0.05)		0.0	027	•	

Percentage of potassium (%) in the vegetative total of the plant

The results of Table 7 indicated that there is a significant difference between the two varieties of alfalfa plant in the average percentage of potassium in the plant, the plants of the Indian variety outperformed the highest average percentage of potassium in the plant was 1.105% compared to the plants of the local variety.

It is also noted from the results of Table 7 the significant difference between the concentrations of bio-fertilizer AG (0, 3 and 6) mg. L⁻¹ in the average potassium content in the alfalfa plant, as the sprayed

plants contained a concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ highest average potassium content of 1.121% compared to control plants. Mahmood et al. (2022) also showed that the use of bio-fertilizer led to a significant increase in the percentage of potassium in the plant. Allawi and Drefel (2016) also stated that the use of bio-fertilizer led to a significant increase in the percentage of potassium in the plant.

The superiority of sprayed plants in the concentration is 3 mg. L⁻¹ may be due to the role of the bio-fertilizer in increasing root growth as discussed previously (Table 2), which works to increase the plant's ability to absorb nutrients, including potassium, and may also be due to the role of the bio-fertilizer in

providing nutrients to the plant, including potassium (Singh et al., 2020). An increase in the percentage of potassium in the plant may also be due to the microorganisms contained in the bio-fertilizer such as Bacillus bacteria that release potassium from various minerals, as these bacteria release potassium in the soil and make it more ready for the plant, and this is reflected positively on plant growth (Biswas and Basak, 2014). In addition, potassium-releasing bacteria play a role in increasing potassium content accompanied by an increase in phosphorus content and thus an increase in plant biomass compared to control factors (Zhang et al., 2004). It may also be belonged to the role of biofertilizer in stimulating plant growth through the secretion of enzymes and growth regulators that help increase the growth of the root system and lead to an increase in the surface area for absorption of nutrients, including phosphorus and other nutrients (Yadegari, 2008). As for the effect of spraying the growth regulator brassinolide (BL), the results of Table 7 show significant differences between the sprayed concentrations in the average percentage of potassium in the plant, the sprayed plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg. L⁻¹ with the highest average trait ratio of 1.117% compared to control plants. Al-Halfi and Al-Shammry (2017) indicated that spraying the hormone brassinolide led to a significant increase in the potassium content of the coriander plant. sprayed with a concentration of more than 4 mg. L-1 is due to the role of brassinolide in increasing root growth as discussed previously (Table 2), which works to increase the plant's ability to absorb nutrients, including potassium.

The action of brassinolide is positively reflected in an increase in potassium concentration in the vegetative total (Bera et al., 2008). Verma et al. (2009) reported that a number of growth regulators, including brassinolide, affect the physiological characteristics of crops such as stimulating the absorption of mineral

ions, in addition to that brassinolide helps increase the absorption of mineral elements from the soil, and this leads to an increase in the percentage of potassium in plants sprayed with brassinolide. It may also be due to the role of the brassinolide hormone is one of the steroids that have the ability to retain water as it has the ability to bind with polyamines and lead to an increase in the osmosis of cells in favor of the entry of water and nutrients, as well as that the glucobrasscin compound from the metabolism of brassinosteroids and this compound metabolizes auxin, which increases the growth of the root system and then increases the absorption of nutrients, including potassium (Hayat and Ahmad, 2011; Ross and Quittenden, 2016). As for bilateral interactions, the results of the same table show a significant interaction between varieties and biofertilizer in the average percentage of potassium in the plant, as the Indian and local variety plants sprayed with concentrations of 3 and 6 mg.L⁻¹ were achieved the highest average interaction ratio of (1.208 and 1.062)% with a significant difference between them sequentially compared to control plants. interaction between varieties and spraying of the growth regulator was significant and the sprayed Indian and local variety plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg. L⁻¹ with the highest average interaction ratio of (1.185 and 1.049) % respectively. The interaction between bio-fertilizer and brassinolide was also significant in the average potassium content of the plant, the sprayed plants gave the concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ of bio-fertilizer and 6 mg. L⁻¹ of brassinolide highest average interaction ratio of 1.180% compared to control plants. As for the triple interaction between the study factors, the results indicate a significant interaction between the study factors in the percentage of potassium in the plant (Table 7), the plants of the Indian variety sprayed with a concentration 3 mg.L⁻¹ outweighed of bio-fertilizer and 4 mg.L-1 of brassinolide with the highest average interaction of 1.298% compared to control plants.

Table 7 Effect of variety, bio-fertilizer and brassinolide hormone and their interactions on the percentage of potassium in the vegetative total (%) of two varieties of alfalfa plant.

Verities (V)	Bio-fertilizer	Bro	assinolide cor	ncentration ('BL)	Effect of mean
V 0.110.05 (V)	concentrations	5.0		ı.L-1)	,	bilateral interaction
	(AG) (mg.L-1)	0	2	4	6	AG×V
Indian	0	0.874	0.966	1.112	1.052	1.001
	3	1.113	1.177	1.298	1.244	1.208
	6	1.023	1.077	1.146	1.179	1.107
Local	0	0.717	0.872	0.966	0.906	0.865
	3	0.935	1.036	1.053	1.116	1.035
	6	0.985	1.059	1.128	1.076	1.062
LS	SD(0.05)		0.0	047	•	0.023
		Bilatera	l interaction BI	$L \times V$		•
Ve	rities (V)	I	Effect of mean variety			
		0	2	4	6	7
]	Indian	1.003	1.074	1.185	1.159	1.105
	Local	0.879	0.989	1.049	1.032	0.987
LS	SD(0.05)		0.014			
		Bilateral	interaction BL	×AG		·
Bio-fe	rtilizer (AG)	I	Effect of mean bio-			
			(mg	(L^{-1})		fertilizer
		0	2	4	6	
	0	0.795	0.919	1.039	0.979	0.933
	3	1.024	1.107	1.176	1.180	1.121
	6	1.004	1.068	1.137	1.128	1.084
LS	SD(0.05)	0.033				0.017
Effect of m	nean brassinolide	0.941	1.031	1.117	1.095	
LS	SD(0.05)		0.0	019	•	

Total chlorophyll content of the leaves (mg.gm-1 wet weight)

The results of Table 8 indicated a significant difference between the two varieties of alfalfa plant in the average total chlorophyll content of the leaves, the plants of the Indian variety outperformed the highest average total chlorophyll leaf content of 1.502 mg. g⁻¹ Wet weight compared to plants of the local variety. The difference in the two varieties of alfalfa plant in the total chlorophyll content may be due to the variation of the genetic material of both varieties. It is also noted from the results of Table 8 the significant difference between the concentrations of bio-fertilizer AG (0, 3 and 6) mg. L⁻¹ in the total chlorophyll content of the leaves in the alfalfa plant, as the sprayed plants

contained a concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ had the highest average total chlorophyll content of 1.511 mg. g⁻¹ wet weight compared to control plants. These results are consistent with Al-Qasy and Al-Shammari (2022a) who they showed that the use of bio-fertilizer led to a significant increase in the leaf content of total chlorophyll of the fenugreek plant. Al-Dulaimi and Al-Rawi (2020) also confirmed that the use of bio-fertilizer led to a significant increase in the leaf content of chlorophyll. This increase in the leaf content of chlorophyll. This increase in the total chlorophyll content of the leaves may be due to the role of the bio-fertilizer containing bacteria through the high ability of Bacillus bacteria to dissolve phosphorus through the secretion of many organic acids in addition to

increasing the availability of some nutrients, especially macroelements (Sharma et al., 2012).

Thus, this effect is reflected in the increase in the growth of the root system and increase its absorption of nutrients and water, which contributed to increasing plant growth, and therefore increasing the relative density of chlorophyll pigment and this leads to an increase in the total chlorophyll content as well as the role of the enriched in increasing the absorption of nutrients through increasing root growth (Table 2), increasing the availability of these elements and other elements absorbed by the plant and involved in the composition of porphyrin rings involved in the biosynthesis of chlorophylls, the most important of which are magnesium has thus had an effect on this increase. These results are consistent with Husain et al. (2021) in fenugreek plants. It may be due to the role of a bio-fertilizer that leads to increased nutrient availability, photosynthesis activity and total chlorophyll formation, as well as nitrogen in the plant which ultimately leads to improved plant elevation in fenugreek plant (Raiyani et al., 2018). The absorption of nitrogen and magnesium leads to an increase in the total chlorophyll content in the leaves of the plant, which leads to an increase in metabolic processes and biosynthesis, and these results are consistent with Jha and Trivedi (2021) in the cowpea plant. As for the effect of spraying the growth regulator brassinolide (BL), the results of table 8 show significant differences between the sprayed concentrations in the average leaf content of total chlorophyll, the sprayed plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg. L⁻¹ with the highest average total chlorophyll content of 1.568 mg.g 1 wet weight relative to control plants. Sura and Al-Hilfy (2022) observed that the use of the hormone brassinolide led to a significant increase in the total chlorophyll content of the leaves. Al-Shimary and Al-Jboury (2017) also reported that the use of brassinolide led to a significant increase in the total chlorophyll content of the leaves of dill. Al-Halfi and Al-Shammry (2017) also noted that spraying the hormone brassinolide led to a significant increase in the leaf content of the total chlorophyll of the coriander plant. Al-Saedi, and Al-Mentafji (2016) also noted that the treatment of coriander plant with the hormone Brassinolide led to a significant increase in the leaf content of the total chlorophyll of the coriander plant. The increase in the total chlorophyll content of the leaves of two varieties of alfalfa plant as a result of the addition of brassinolide may be due to the role of brassinolide in inhibiting the enzyme chlorophyllase responsible for the depletion of chlorophyll, which led to the accumulation of chlorophyll in the leaves (Fariduddin et al., 2003). This finding is consistent with Kandil et al. (2007) found. Brassinosteroids increase the chlorophyll content in the leaves (Swamy and Rao, 2009). The spray of brassinolide has increased the total chlorophyll content of the plant and it is believed that the hormone has a role in stimulating the metabolism of the enzyme NADH-protochlorophyllid reductase and then increasing the content of chlorophyll, it is believed that brassinolide has an important role in increasing the effectiveness of the enzyme Rubisco by increasing the ability of its fixation in plastids by increasing the concentration of thiamine, which enters the synthesis of the enzyme acetyl Co-A, and brassinolide is an anti-accumulation effect of abscisic acid and reduce plant aging and leaf decomposition, and the hormone also works on protection of plastids from the influence of free oxidative radicals (Sharma, 2011; Renu et al., 2014). As for bilateral interactions, the results of the same table show a significant interaction between the varieties and the bio-fertilizer in the average total chlorophyll content, as the Indian and local variety plants sprayed with a concentration of 3 mg.L⁻¹ were given the highest average interaction of (1.610 and 1.413) mg. g⁻¹ wet weight and has a significant difference between them respectively. The interaction between varieties and spraying of the growth regulator was significant and the sprayed Indian and local variety plants were characterized by a concentration of 4 mg. L⁻¹ with the highest mean interaction was 1.684 and 1.452 mg.g⁻¹ wet weight respectively compared to control plants. The interaction between bio-fertilizer and brassinolide was significant in the average total chlorophyll content of the leaves, as the sprayed plants were given a concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ of bio-fertilizer and 4 mg. L⁻ ¹ of brassinolide has the highest average interference of 1.643 mg. g⁻¹ wet weight compared to control plants. As for the triple interaction between the study factors, the results indicate a significant interaction between the study factors in the total chlorophyll content of the leaves of the plant (Table 8), the Indian variety sprayed plants outperformed at a bio-fertilizer concentration of 3 mg. L⁻¹ and brassinolide at concentration of 4 mg.L⁻¹

with the highest average interaction trait of 1.769 compared to control plants.

Table 8: Effect of variety, bio-fertilizer, brassinolide and their interactions on the total chlorophyll content of leaves (mg.g-1 wet weight) of two alfalfa plant varieties.

Verities (V)	Bio-fertilizer concentrations	Bro	ssinolide cor (mg	Effect of mean bilateral interaction		
	(AG) (mg.L-1)	0	2	4	6	AG ×V
Indian	0	0.931	1.232	1.600	1.532	1.324
	3	1.396	1.663	1.769	1.612	1.610
	6	1.469	1.589	1.683	1.546	1.572
Local	0	0.771	1.169	1.392	1.364	1.174
	3	1.284	1.449	1.517	1.401	1.413
	6	1.339	1.391	1.448	1.303	1.370
LS	D(0.05)		0.0	059		0.029
		Bilatera	l interaction BI	$L \times V$		
Vei	rities (V)	I	Brassinolide cor	ncentration (B)	<u></u>	Effect of mean variety
		0	2	4	6	
]	Indian	1.265	1.495	1.684	1.563	1.502
	Local	1.131	1.336	1.452	1.356	1.319
LS	D(0.05)		0.0	034		0.017
		Bilateral	interaction BL	×AG		
Bio-fe	rtilizer (AG)	I	Brassinolide cor	ncentration (B	(_)	Effect of mean bio-
			(mg	(L^{-1})		fertilizer
		0	2	4	6	
	0	0.851	1.200	1.496	1.448	1.249
	3	1.340	1.556	1.643	1.507	1.511
	6	1.404	1.490	1.566	1.424	1.471
LS	SD(0.05)	0.042			0.021	
Effect of m	nean brassinolide	1.198	1.415	1.568	1.460	
LS	D(0.05)		0.0	024		

References

- Adesemoye, A.O. and Kloppr, J.W. (2009). Plant-microbes interaction in enhance fertilizer-use efficiency, Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 85: 1-12p.
- Al-Dulaimi, A.S.T. and Al-Rawi, W.A.A.(2020). Effects of biofertilizers and compost application on vegetative growth of plum transplants. Plant Archives Vol. 20 (1): 2215-2220 pp.
- Al-Halfi, A. S. S. and Al-Shammry, M. Z. F. (2017). Effect of Chelating Compounds (DTPA) and Brassinolide on some Vegetative Growth Characteristics and Macroelement Content in Corianderum sativum L. Al-Mustansiriyah Journal of Science. Vol. 28 (3):7-13p.
- Allawi, M.M. and Drefel, K.A.-H. (2016). The role of biofertilizer and spraying with some nutrients in the growth and yield of cucumber grown under protected environment conditions. Al Furat Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 8(3): 1-8.
- Al-Qasy, H. H. H. and Al-Shammari, M. Z. F. (2022a). Efficacy of NPK nano fertilizer and biobacterial fertilizer on weight and chlorophyll of two cultivars of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graceum L.). International Journal of Health Sciences, 6(S2), 11525-11537.

- AL-Qasy, H. H. H. and Al-Shammari, M. Z. F. (2022b). THE
 EFFECT OF A COMBINATION OF NANO FERTILIZER
 AND BIO-FERTILIZER IN SOME GROWTH INDICATORS
 OF TWO VARIETIES OF FENUGREEK PLANT
 (TRIGONELLA FOENUM-GRAECUM L.).
 NANOBIOTECHNOLOGY REPORTS. Vol.17(7): 117130p.
- Al-Saedi, A.J.H. and Al-Mentafji, H.N.H. (2016). Relations Between Water Stress, Selenium and Brassinolide Hormone on Some Vegetative Parameters and Elements Content of Coriander Plant Coriandrum sativum L. Ibn Al-Haitham J. for Pure & Appl. Sci., 29(2): 376-386p.
- Alsalim, H. A. A.(2019). Assessment of Azotobacter chroococcum's Ability to Produce Hydrolytic Enzymes and Enhance Growth of Vicia faba. Baghdad Science Journal Vol.16(1): 34-39p.
- Al-Shammry, M. Z. F. and Al-Halfi, A. S. S.(2017). Effect of the Brassinolide and DTPA and their Interaction in Some of the Morphological Characteristics and Yield of Active Compounds in the Coriander Coriandrumsativum L. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR).vol.6 (11):1047-1052p.
- Al-Shimary, M.Z.F. and Al-Jboury, W.S.H.H. (2017).The Effect of Variety and Gibberellic acid and Brassinolide and their Interaction on Some of The Chemical Characteristics and Total chlorophyll of the plant Dill

- Anethum graveolens L. Baghdad Science Journal. 14(2): 270-278.
- Al-Taie, K. I. H. (2015). Nitrogen fertilizer and its effect on green forage yield of two alfalfa cultivars. The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 46(5): 728-738.
- Bera, A. K.; Maity,U. and Mazumdar, D. (2008). Effect of foliar application of brassinolide and salicylic acid on NPK content in leaf and nutritive values of seed in green gram (Vigna radiata L. Wil Czek). Legume Res., 31 (3): 169-173.
- Biswas, D. R. and Basak, B. B. (2014). 'Mobilization of potassium from waste mica by potassium-solubilizing bacteria (Bacillus mucilaginosus) as influenced by temperature andincubation period under in vitro laboratory conditions', Mobilization of potassium from waste mica by potassium-solubilizing bacteria (Bacillus mucilaginosus) as influenced by temperature andincubation period under in vitro laboratory conditions. Pisa University Press, pp. 309–320.
- Chapman, H. D. and Pratt, P. F. (1961). Methods of analysis for soils, plant, and water. Univ. Calif. Div. Agri. Sci., USA.: 33 35p.
- El-Khallal, S. M.; Hathout, T. A.; Ashour, A. A.; and Kerrit, A. A. (2009). Brassinolide and salicylic acid induced growth, biochemical activities and productivity of maize plants grown under salt stress. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 5: 380–390
- Fariduddin, Q.; Ahmad, A. and Hayat, S. (2003). Photosynthetic response of Vigna radiata to pre-sowing seed treatment with 28- homobrassinolide. Photosynthetica, 41: 307-310.
- Fnca Forum for Nuclear Cooperation in Asia . (2014). FNCA Guideline for Biofertilizer Quality Assurance and Control (Vol. 1 Quantification of beneficial microbes in biofertilize.
- Goodwin, T. W.(1976).Chemistry and Biochemistry of Plant Pigment. 2nd Academic. Press. London, New York. San Francisco: 373.
- Gresser, M. S. and Parson J. W. (1979). Sulphuric, perechloric and digestion of plant material for magnesium. Analyt. Chem. Acta, 109: 431-436p.
- Guleria,V.; Sharma, Sh.; Kumar, V. and Bisht, S.(2014). Species Specific Rhizobium Inoculation on Seedling Growth of Albizia lebbeck and Acacia catechu Under Water Stress Conditions. Science International. DOI: 10.17311/sciintl.2014.51.56.
- Harman , G. E. (2000) . Myths and dogmas of bio-control . Plant Disease. 84: (4): 377-393p
- Hartman, G. E. (2000a). Overview of mechanism and use of Tricoderma spp. Phytopathology. 140-45.
- Hayat, S. and Ahmad , A. (2011). Brassinosteroids: A Class of Plant Hormone. Springer, Berlin. Germany. 462P.
- Hunt, R. (1978). Plant Growth Analysis. Studies in Biology, (96). Edward Arnold (Publ.) Ltd., London.
- Husain, N.; Nair, R. and Bhawana Yadav, R. K. S. (2021). 'Crop productivity and nutrient uptake of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) as influenced by inorganic fertilizers and bio-inoculant (Rhizobium, PSB and KSB)'.
- Hussein , Z.F. (2013). Study the effect of Eruca Sativa leaves extract on
- Jackson, M. L. (1958). Soil Chemical analysis prentice. Hall Inc. Englewood, Cliffs, N. T., USA.
- Jha, B. and Trivedi, J. (2021). 'Effect of different biofertilizer and fertility level on growth, quality and yield attributes of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp)'.

- Kandil, M. M.; Magda A.; Shalaby and Mona H. Mahgoub. (2007). Effect of some growth regulators on levels endogenous hormones and chemicals constituents of rose plant. American-Eurasian J. Agric. and Environ. Sci., 2(6): 720-730p.
- khan, B.M; Kabir, M. A.; Hossain, M.K. and Mridha, M.A.U. (2015). Microbial inoculation influences the germination and growth of Albizia lebbeck seedling in nursery. Banko Janakari, Vol. 26, No.1. P 82-89.
- Mahgoub, M.; El-Ghorab H. A. H. and Bekheta M. A. (2006). Effect of some bioregulators on the endogenous phytohormones, chemical composition, essential oil and its antioxidant activity of carnation (Dianthus caryophyllus L.). J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 31: 4229-4245.
- Mahmood, K.A. ;Jumma, A. I. and Mahmood, D.(2022). Effect of Biofertilizer and Biostimulators on Seeds germination and Seedlings growth of Albizia lebbeck L. Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences . 22 (1):119-133p.
- male fertility in albino mice. J. of Al-Nahrain Univ., 16(1): 143-146.
- Mitchell, J. W.; Mandava, N.; Worley, J.F.; Plimmer, J. R. and Smith, M. V. (1970). Brassins a new family of plant hormones from rape pollen. Nature (London), 225: 1065-1066.
- Nasralla, A.Y.; Khairallah, H. and Neamah, S.I. (2015). THE EFFECT OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS ON PLANT ANTI-OXIDANT PRODUCTION FROM CALLUS OF BUCKWHEAT. The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 46(6): 922-933p.
- Olsen, S. K. and Sommers, L. E. (1982). Phosphorus In: Page, A. L.et al. (eds.) Mcthods of soil analysis. Amer. Agron. Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, New York.
- Page, A.L.; Miller, R.H. and Kenney, D.R. (1982). Method of Soil Analysis. 2nd (ed), Agron. 9, Publisher, Madiason, Wisconsin. 1143P.
- Patra, P.S. and Paul, T. (2019). Lucerne (Alfalfa). In: "Forage Crops of the World", Md. Hedayetullah and P. Zaman (Eds.): 231-243pp. Volume I: Major Forage Crops. The 1st edition, CRC Press, Apple. Academic Press, Inc.
- Premalatha, R.; Jubendradass; R.; Rajamanickam; R.S.; Amala, J.; Srikumar, K. and Mathur , P.P. (2012). A phytooxysterol, 28-homobrassinolide modulates rat testicular steroidogenesis in normal and diabetic rats . Reproductive sci., 20(5): 589 596.
- Raiyani, V. N.; Kathiriya, R. K.; Thummer, V. M. and Rupareliya, V. V. (2018). Effect of FYM and biofertilizers on growth, yield attributes and yield of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). IJCS, 6(4), 746-748p.
- Rao, S.S.R.; Vardhini, B.; Vidya, S.E. and Anuradha S. (2002). Brassinosteroids-A new class of Phytohormones. Curr. Sci., 82(10): 1239-1245.
- Renu, B.; Indu S., Dhriti K.; Poonam, Vandana, G.; Ravdeep, Kaur, Shagun, B. and Anket, S. (2014). Brassinosteroids: Improving Crop Productivity and Abiotic Stress Tolerance: P. Ahmad and M.R. Wani (eds.), Physiological Mechanisms and Adaptation Strategies in Plants Under Changing Environment: Vol. 2. Springer Science+Business Media New York.
- Ross, J.J. and Quittenden, L.J. (2016). Interactions between Brassinosteroids and Gibberellins: Synthesis or Signaling? The Plant Cell, 28: 829–832.
- Rugheim, A.; Taha, K. E. M. and Ali, S. E. A. (2014). 'Influence of nitrogen fixing and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria inoculation on fenugreek symbiotic properties, growth

- and yield [in:] International journal of Horticulture', Agriculture and Food Science (IJHAF), pp. 42–47.
- SAS. (2012). Statistical Analysis System, Users Guide .Statistical. Version 9.1th ed. SAS. Inst. Inc. Cary. N. C. USA
- Sasse, J. M. (1994). Brassinosteroids and roots. Proc. Plant Growth Regul. Soc. Am., 19, 135-138p.
- Sharma, B.; Rashi, S. and Saha, A. (2012). In vitro solubilization of tricalcium phosphate and production IAA by phosphate solubilization bacteria isolated from Tea rhizosphere of Darjeeling Himalaya. Plant Science Feed 2 (6):96-99p.
- Sharma, I. (2011). Effect of Brassinosteroids on Antioxidant Defence System of Raphanus sativus L. under Heavy Metal Stress Employing Biochemical and Molecular Approaches. Ph.D. Thesis , Faculty of Life Sciences , Guru Nanak Dev University. Amritsar.
- Singh, D.; Singh, S. K.; Singh, V. K.; Gupta, A.; Aamir, M. and Kumar, A. (2020). Plant growth–promoting bacteria and their role in environmental management. In Abatement of Environmental Pollutants (pp. 161-175). Elsevier.
- Sura, J. B. and Al-Hilfy, I. H. H.(2022). Effect of brassinolide on some growth traits and biological yield of bread wheat. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 53(2):322-328p.

- Swamy, K. N. and Rao, S. S. R. (2009). Effect of 24-epibrassinolide on growth, photosynthesis, and essential oil content of pelargonium graveolens L. Herit. Russ. J. Plant Physiol., 56(5): 616-620.
- Verma, A.; Malik C.P.; Sinsinwar Y. K. and Gupta V.K. (2009). Yield Parameters Responses in a Spreading (ev. M-13) and Semi-Spreading (ev. Girnar-2) Types of Groundnut to six Growth Regulators. American-Eurasian J. Agric. and Environ. Sci., 6(1): 88-91.
- Willer, H. and J. Lernoud. (2019). The world of organic agriculture, statistic and emerging trends. Int. federation of organic Agric. Mor. (IFOAM): p27-35.
- Wood ward, D. (2003). Effective Microorganisms as Regenerative Systems in Mearth Healing. M.Sc. Dissertation.Righton. WWW. Living – soil .co.uk. / Learning / soil sustain . Htm.
- Yadegari, M. (2008). 'Evaluation of bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) seeds inoculation with Rhizobium phaseoli and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on yield and yield components.', Pakistan journal of biological sciences: PJBS, 11(15), pp. 1935–1939.
- Zhang, C. J.; Tu, G. Q. and Cheng, C. J. (2004). 'Study on potassium dissolving ability of silicate bacteria', Shaguan College Journal, 26, pp. 1209–1216.