History of Medicine, 2025, 11(1): 706-729
DOI: 10.48047/HM.V11.I1.2025.706-729

Affordable and Accessible Spirometry: A Review of Portable and AI-Driven Solutions

Prof. Dr. P.G. Shete[1], Prof. Shubhangi A. Solanke[2], Heramb Patil[1], Vaishnavee Sanam[2], Devadripta Jadhav[1], Samiksha Boduwar[1], Sahil Patil[2]

[1]*Dept. of Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering, PVGCOET&GKPIM, Pune, India*
[2]*Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science Engineering, PVGCOET&GKPIM, Pune, India*
Emails: pgs.entc@pvgcoet.ac.in, sas.aids@pvgcoet.ac.in, herambpatil2004@gmail.com, vaishnavee.gcloud@gmail.com, devadripta@gmail.com, samikshaboduwar@gmail.com, spatil16aug@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the advancements in portable spirometry, focusing on the integration of AI and novel sensor technologies to enhance respiratory healthcare. Portable spirometry has become increasingly accessible due to the miniaturization of sensors, improved calibration methods, and the incorporation of AI-driven data analysis. These developments enable more accurate and user-friendly devices, offering significant potential for continuous monitoring and early disease diagnosis. However, challenges remain, such as addressing biases in AI models, ensuring device accuracy across diverse populations, and improving usability and patient engagement. The paper discusses potential future directions, including enhanced sensor technologies, robust AI algorithms, and seamless integration with healthcare systems. By overcoming technological and regulatory barriers, portable spirometry could revolutionize respiratory care, particularly in resource-limited settings, improving diagnosis, treatment, and patient outcomes globally.

Keywords *- Portable Spirometer, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Respiratory Disease Detection, Airflow Graphs, Lung Function*

1. INTRODUCTION

Chronic respiratory diseases (CRDs), such as Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), represent a significant global health burden. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), COPD alone accounts for over 3 million deaths annually, with a disproportionate impact on low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where approximately 90% of COPD-related deaths occur (WHO data). Effective CRD management hinges on early detection and consistent monitoring, making accessible and affordable diagnostic tools paramount. Spirometry, the gold standard for assessing lung function, plays a vital role in diagnosing CRDs, including COPD, asthma, and other pulmonary conditions. However, traditional spirometry methods often rely on expensive, bulky equipment and require trained personnel, limiting their availability, particularly in LMICs and in remote or underserved communities. This accessibility gap directly impacts early diagnosis and timely intervention, contributing to higher morbidity and mortality rates.

This review examines the recent advancements in portable and AI-driven spirometry solutions designed to overcome the limitations of traditional methods. We explore the evolution of portable spirometers, encompassing various technologies including smartphone-based systems, novel sensor modalities (e.g., Fiber Bragg Grating sensors, Electrical Impedance Plethysmography), and the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) for improved data analysis and disease classification. Our analysis will critically assess the advantages and disadvantages of each approach, focusing on their cost-effectiveness, accuracy, ease of use, and potential to improve access to spirometry in diverse healthcare settings. We will also discuss the challenges and future research directions needed to further enhance the accessibility, affordability, and clinical utility of these innovative technologies, aiming to ultimately improve the detection, monitoring, and management of CRDs worldwide.

2. TRADITIONAL SPIROMETRY AND ITS LIMITATIONS

Traditional spirometry, the gold standard for assessing lung function, involves measuring the volume and flow rate of air during forced exhalation and inhalation. The key parameters measured include:

- **Forced Vital Capacity (FVC):** The total volume of air exhaled forcefully after a maximal inhalation.
- **Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second (FEV1):** The volume of air exhaled in the first second of a forced exhalation.
- **FEV1/FVC ratio:** The ratio of FEV1 to FVC, a crucial indicator of airflow obstruction. Values below 0.7 typically suggest obstructive lung disease.
- **Peak Expiratory Flow (PEF):** The maximum flow rate achieved during a forced exhalation [5, 8].
- **Forced Expiratory Flow (FEF):** Measures airflow at different percentages of FVC (e.g., FEF25-75%), providing further insights into airway resistance.

While providing valuable diagnostic information, traditional spirometry suffers from several limitations:

2.1 Equipment and Setting Limitations

- **Cost and Accessibility:** Traditional spirometers are relatively expensive, requiring significant capital investment for healthcare facilities [14, 17]. This limits access, particularly in resource-constrained settings and areas with limited healthcare infrastructure [17]. The need for specialized equipment restricts testing to specific clinical locations, creating inconvenience for patients [14].
- **Bulky and Non-Portable:** The size and weight of traditional spirometers make them unsuitable for home use or point-of-care settings outside dedicated clinics or hospitals. This lack of portability restricts the frequency of testing and limits the opportunities for longitudinal monitoring of lung function [2, 12].
- **Technician Dependence:** Performing a valid spirometry test requires proper patient coaching and adherence to standardized procedures. Incorrect technique can lead to inaccurate measurements, necessitating the involvement of trained technicians [10, 20]. The reliance on trained personnel adds to the cost and complexity of testing, hindering accessibility and timely diagnosis [10, 20].

2.2 Patient-Related Limitations

- **Effort Dependence:** Accurate spirometry measurements depend on the patient's ability to perform a forceful and sustained exhalation maneuver. This can be challenging for several patient populations:
  - **Children:** Young children may lack the understanding or coordination to perform a proper maneuver [7].
  - **Elderly:** Older adults may have physical limitations affecting their ability to generate sufficient expiratory force [7].
  - **Patients with severe lung disease:** Patients with advanced respiratory illness may experience significant difficulty performing the maneuver, resulting in suboptimal or uninterpretable results [7]. This severely limits the ability to monitor disease progression in these individuals.
- **Subjectivity in Interpretation:** While spirometry provides objective measurements, the interpretation of the results can involve some degree of subjectivity, especially in borderline cases or when multiple parameters need to be considered [3, 8]. Different clinicians may interpret the same spirogram differently, leading to inconsistent diagnoses and management strategies [3].
- **Single Time-Point Assessment:** Traditional spirometry provides a snapshot of lung function at a single point in time. This static assessment does not capture the dynamic changes in lung function that may occur over time,

potentially missing important information regarding disease progression or treatment response [5]. Regular, repeated measurements are necessary to track these changes, but the inconvenience of repeated clinic visits may limit compliance [5].

2.3 Data Management Limitations

- **Manual Data Recording:** In many traditional settings, spirometry results are manually recorded on paper charts, making data management cumbersome and prone to errors [16]. Data retrieval and analysis can be time-consuming, hindering efficient patient care and long-term monitoring [16].
- **Lack of Integration:** Traditional spirometry data is often isolated from other patient information, limiting the ability to integrate it into a comprehensive electronic health record (EHR) system [1, 17, 18]. This fragmented data approach hinders the development of personalized treatment plans and limits the potential for effective long-term disease management.

These limitations highlight the need for more accessible, user-friendly, and accurate methods for assessing lung function, prompting the development of portable and AI-powered spirometry solutions discussed in subsequent sections.

3. EVOLUTION OF PORTABLE SPIROMETERS

This section details the evolution of portable spirometers, categorized into key technological advancements.

3.1 Early Portable Spirometers and their Limitations

The earliest portable spirometers emerged as a response to the limitations of bulky, clinic-based spirometry devices. These early models, predating the widespread availability of microcontrollers and sophisticated digital signal processing, relied heavily on mechanical components and analog signal processing [19, 20]. While representing a significant step toward increased accessibility, these early devices suffered from several key limitations that hindered their accuracy, reliability, and clinical utility:

- **Mechanical Limitations:** Many early portable spirometers employed mechanical sensors (e.g., bellows, rotating vanes) to measure airflow. These mechanical components were susceptible to wear and tear, requiring frequent calibration and maintenance. The mechanical nature of the sensors often introduced inaccuracies and inconsistencies in measurements, particularly at low or high flow rates. The dynamic range of these devices was frequently limited, making it difficult to accurately capture both normal breathing patterns and the forceful exhalations needed for accurate spirometry measurements.
- **Analog Signal Processing:** Analog signal processing introduced further inaccuracies and inconsistencies into the measurements. Analog signals are susceptible to noise and drift, making it challenging to obtain precise and reliable readings. The amplification and filtering of analog signals were often less sophisticated than modern digital techniques, further contributing to measurement errors.
- **Limited Data Storage and Management:** Early portable spirometers lacked electronic data storage capabilities. Measurements were typically recorded manually on paper, making data retrieval, analysis, and long-term monitoring cumbersome, error-prone, and inefficient. This lack of electronic data storage limited the opportunities for tracking changes in lung function over time or comparing results from multiple tests.
- **Hygiene Concerns:** Cleaning and sterilization were often challenging due to the design of many early devices. Components within the airflow pathway were frequently difficult to access and clean effectively [19], increasing the risk of cross-contamination between patients. This was a significant drawback, particularly in clinical settings.
- **Calibration Challenges:** Maintaining accurate calibration was crucial for reliable measurements, but early portable spirometers often lacked easy or reliable calibration methods. Regular calibration required specialized tools

and expertise, increasing the cost and complexity of using these devices.

In summary, while the initial portable spirometers represented a significant step towards making spirometry more accessible, their technological limitations severely restricted their accuracy, reliability, and clinical utility. The advancements in microelectronics, digital signal processing, and sensor technology described in subsequent sections addressed many of these limitations.

3.2 Advancements in Pneumotachographic Spirometers and Sensor Technology

The limitations of early portable spirometers spurred significant technological advancements, leading to substantial improvements in accuracy, reliability, and user-friendliness. While pneumotachography—the measurement of airflow based on pressure differences across a flow resistor—remained a prevalent sensing method, several key innovations significantly enhanced the capabilities of portable spirometers:

- **Microcontrollers and Digital Signal Processing:** The integration of microcontrollers and digital signal processing (DSP) revolutionized portable spirometry [20]. Microcontrollers provided the computational power necessary for accurate digital signal processing, allowing for precise measurement and analysis of the airflow signal. DSP techniques enabled the implementation of advanced algorithms for noise reduction, artifact removal, and parameter estimation, significantly improving measurement accuracy and reducing the impact of environmental factors and user variability. Furthermore, microcontrollers enabled the incorporation of electronic data storage, facilitating more efficient data management and long-term monitoring of lung function.
- **Improved Pressure Sensors:** The development of more sensitive, accurate, and stable pressure sensors was critical for enhancing the precision of pneumotachographic spirometers. Advances in microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) technology led to the production of smaller, lower-cost, and higher-performance pressure sensors with improved linearity and dynamic range. These improvements allowed for more accurate measurement of airflow across a wider range of flow rates, capturing both normal breathing patterns and forceful exhalations more reliably.
- **Enhanced Designs for Hygiene and Ease of Use:** Spirometer designs evolved to prioritize hygiene and ease of use. Disposable or easily sterilizable mouthpieces and airflow pathways were incorporated to minimize the risk of cross-contamination between patients [19]. Improved user interfaces and clear instructions simplified the operation of the devices, reducing the need for specialized training. Some designs incorporated features like visual feedback and audible cues to help patients perform the spirometry maneuver correctly.

  Despite these advancements, pneumotachographic spirometers continued to face certain limitations:

- **Calibration:** While digital signal processing and improved sensors reduced the frequency of calibration, it still remained a necessary step for maintaining accuracy.
- **Sensitivity to Leaks:** Leaks in the system could lead to significant errors in airflow measurements, necessitat- ing careful attention to the integrity of the mouthpiece and airflow pathways.
- **Limited Dynamic Range:** Some pneumotachographic devices still had limited dynamic ranges, making accurate measurement of both low flow rates (normal breathing) and high flow rates (forced exhalation) challenging.

The limitations of pneumotachography, coupled with the desire for even greater portability and cost-effectiveness, motivated further innovation, leading to the exploration of alternative sensor technologies and the integration of smartphones into spirometry systems.

3.3 The Rise of Smartphone-Based Spirometry and its Impact

The proliferation of smartphones, with their powerful processors, high-resolution displays, and readily available connectivity, has profoundly impacted the design and accessibility of portable spirometry. Smartphone integration has led to significant advancements, offering several key advantages:

- **Cost-Effectiveness:** Leveraging the existing smartphone infrastructure drastically reduces the overall cost of spirometry systems [14, 15, 27]. This makes lung function testing considerably more affordable and accessible, particularly in resource-limited settings. The cost savings associated with not needing to manufacture dedicated hardware significantly expands access to spirometry.
- **Increased Accessibility and Portability:** Smartphones are ubiquitous globally, dramatically increasing the accessibility of spirometry. The portability afforded by smartphone-based systems enables point-of-care testing in various settings, including primary care clinics, homes, and even remote areas [14, 17, 27]. This enhanced accessibility empowers both healthcare professionals and patients with greater convenience and flexibility.
- **Improved Data Management and Connectivity:** Smartphones provide robust capabilities for data storage, analysis, and sharing [13, 14, 15, 27]. Data can be easily stored, visualized, and transmitted to healthcare providers, facilitating remote patient monitoring and improving long-term disease management. This is particularly valuable for patients with chronic respiratory conditions requiring frequent monitoring and adjustments to their treatment plans.

Two primary approaches characterize smartphone-based spirometry:

- **Microphone-Based Systems:** These systems utilize the smartphone's built-in microphone to capture the acoustic signals generated during exhalation [14, 15]. While eliminating the need for additional hardware, resulting in very low cost, this approach relies heavily on sophisticated signal processing algorithms to separate the respiratory signal from background noise and estimate airflow accurately. Accuracy can be affected by ambient noise levels and variations in user technique, representing a significant challenge. Examples include MobSpiro [14] and early versions of SpiroSmart [15].
- **Dedicated Hardware-Integrated Systems:** To address the accuracy limitations of microphone-based systems, several devices integrate a dedicated flow sensor with the smartphone [13, 27]. This sensor directly measures airflow, providing higher accuracy and reduced sensitivity to environmental noise. The sensor communicates wirelessly (often via Bluetooth) with a smartphone application, which processes the data and displays the results. Examples include Respi [13] and later versions of SpiroSmart [15] which employ dedicated airflow sensors. This approach combines the benefits of smartphone integration with improved measurement accuracy and reduced reliance on complex signal processing algorithms.

While smartphone-based spirometry has significantly increased accessibility and convenience, challenges remain:

- **Accuracy and Reliability:** Ensuring the accuracy and reliability of smartphone-based spirometry, especially microphone-based systems, remains crucial [14, 15]. Rigorous validation against gold-standard spirometers is necessary to establish their clinical validity.
- **User Technique and Variability:** Variability due to differences in user technique can significantly impact measurement accuracy. Clear instructions and user-friendly interfaces are crucial to minimize this variability.
- **Data Security and Privacy:** Safeguarding patient data is paramount. Robust security measures and adherence to data privacy regulations are essential to maintain patient trust and confidentiality.

The continued evolution of smartphone technology and advancements in signal processing and AI algorithms are likely to further refine smartphone-based spirometry, leading to more accurate, reliable, and user-friendly devices.

3.4 Recent Advancements and the Role of AI

Recent years have witnessed a surge in innovation within portable spirometry, driven by advancements in sensor technology, signal processing techniques, and the integration of artificial intelligence (AI). These advancements have led to more accurate, reliable, and feature-rich devices, addressing many of the limitations of earlier systems. Spirofy™ exemplifies this hardware progress, while AI integration is transforming analysis.

3.4.1 Spirofy™: A Case Study in Recent Advancements

Spirofy™ [27] represents a notable example of a portable spirometer designed with a focus on accuracy, usability, and affordability, particularly relevant for resource-constrained settings. A key aspect of the Spirofy™ development was its rigorous validation against an established spirometer, the Vitalograph Alpha Touch™. This validation study demonstrated:

- **High Accuracy and Validity:** Spirofy™ demonstrated strong correlations with the Vitalograph Alpha Touch™ in measuring key spirometry parameters (FEV1, FVC, etc.), indicating its ability to provide reliable and accurate lung function measurements [27]. The high correlation coefficients suggest good agreement between the two devices across various patient groups.
- **Good Diagnostic Performance:** Spirofy™ exhibited good sensitivity and specificity in identifying individuals with obstructive airway diseases (OADs) such as asthma and COPD [27]. This suggests the device's capability to effectively distinguish between healthy individuals and those with OADs.
- **Portability and Ease of Use:** The device's portable design and user-friendly interface were highlighted as contributing factors to its suitability for use in various settings, particularly primary care clinics where access to traditional spirometers may be limited. The simplicity of the device's design aims to minimize the need for extensive training or technical expertise.
- **Cost-Effectiveness (Implied):** While not explicitly detailed in the paper, the development of Spirofy™ within the context of India's healthcare needs implicitly emphasizes the goal of creating a cost-effective spirometer to address the increasing burden of OADs in the region [27].

Despite its promising features, limitations remain. The study's relatively small sample size and focus on a specific demographic (adults in India) limit the generalizability of the findings. Further research is necessary to assess its performance across broader populations, various age groups, and different disease severities.

3.4.2 The Expanding Role of AI in Portable SpirometryBeyond hardware advancements, the integration of AI has fundamentally transformed portable spirometry:

- **Enhanced Accuracy and Reliability:** AI algorithms, particularly machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) models, can significantly improve the accuracy and reliability of spirometry measurements by compen- sating for individual variations in breathing patterns, reducing noise and artifacts, and improving parameter estimation [9, 21, 26].
- **Automated Interpretation and Diagnosis:** AI enables the automated interpretation of spirometry data, classifying lung function patterns (normal, obstructive, restrictive) and predicting the probability of various respiratory diseases [10, 25]. This speeds up the diagnostic process and makes spirometry more accessible to healthcare providers with less specialized training.
- **Improved Data Management and Clinical Decision Support:** AI facilitates efficient data management, analysis, and visualization. AI-driven systems can provide clinicians with detailed reports, personalized recommendations, and alerts, enhancing clinical decision-making and potentially improving patient outcomes [18].

Examples of AI applications in portable spirometry include the use of deep learning models for improved parameter estimation [21, 26], the development of algorithms for automated pattern recognition and classification [10, 25], and the creation of AI-driven clinical decision support systems that integrate spirometry data with other patient information [18]. However, challenges in terms of model interpretability, generalizability, and clinical validation remain areas requiring ongoing research.

DOI: 10.48047/HM.V11.I1.2025.706-729

In conclusion, portable spirometry has undergone a remarkable evolution, transitioning from basic mechanical devices to sophisticated AI-powered systems. Spirofy™ exemplifies recent hardware advancements, while AI integration continues to revolutionize the clinical utility of portable spirometry. Further research is necessary to address remaining challenges and unlock the full potential of these technologies to improve respiratory care globally.

3.5 Novel Sensor Technologies

Beyond the established use of pneumotachography (measuring pressure differences across a flow resistor) and the more recent adaptation of smartphone microphones for airflow sensing, ongoing research actively explores novel sensor technologies to enhance portable spirometry. These emerging technologies aim to improve measurement accuracy, reduce costs, facilitate miniaturization, and potentially introduce new functionalities. Several key examples are detailed below:

3.5.1 Fiber Bragg Grating (FBG) Sensors

FBG sensors represent a significant departure from traditional pressure-based approaches. Instead of directly measuring pressure, FBG sensors measure strain, which can then be precisely correlated to airflow. This approach offers several compelling advantages [12]:

- **High Sensitivity and Wide Dynamic Range:** FBG sensors are exceptionally sensitive to even minute changes in strain, allowing for accurate measurement of airflow across a broad dynamic range, encompassing both the low flow rates of normal breathing and the high flow rates during forced expiratory maneuvers. This is a significant advantage over some pressure sensors that may exhibit limited accuracy at the extremes of the flow range.
- **Miniaturization Potential:** The inherent physical properties of FBG sensors allow for their integration into exceptionally compact devices, which is crucial for portable spirometry. Their small size enables the development of less obtrusive and more user-friendly devices.
- **Robustness and Durability:** FBG sensors are comparatively robust and less susceptible to damage from environmental factors or physical stress compared to some electronic pressure sensors. This enhanced durability increases the longevity and reliability of the spirometer.
- **Inherent Immunity to Electromagnetic Interference (EMI):** Unlike electronic sensors, FBG sensors are not affected by electromagnetic fields. This inherent EMI immunity is particularly beneficial in clinical environments where various electronic devices may generate significant EMI.
- **Multiplexing Capabilities:** It's possible to integrate multiple FBG sensors within a single system, allowing for the simultaneous measurement of other relevant parameters besides airflow. For instance, this opens up possibilities for measuring temperature, humidity, or other physiological signals relevant to respiratory function.

by:Despite these advantages, the widespread adoption of FBG sensors in portable spirometry is currently hindered

- **Cost:** FBG sensors and the associated interrogation systems (necessary to read the sensor's output) can be relatively expensive compared to traditional pressure-based sensors, thus limiting their immediate affordability.
- **Complexity:** Integrating FBG sensors requires specialized expertise in optical fiber technology and signal processing. The system design and calibration are inherently more complex than those of traditional spirometers.

Ongoing research focuses on reducing the cost and complexity of FBG interrogation systems and refining their integration into portable spirometer designs to fully realize their potential in enhancing respiratory diagnostics.

3.5.2 Electrical Impedance Plethysmography (EIP)

Unlike the direct measurement of airflow, EIP is an indirect technique for assessing respiratory function [11]. It measures changes in electrical impedance across the chest, which are related to changes in thoracic volume

DOI: 10.48047/HM.V11.I1.2025.706-729

associated with breathing. EIP's main appeal for portable spirometry lies in its non-invasive nature and suitability for ambulatory monitoring [11]. However, this approach faces considerable challenges:

- **Motion Artifacts:** Movement artifacts significantly contaminate the EIP signal, making it difficult to accurately isolate the respiratory signal. This is especially problematic in ambulatory settings where patients are moving freely. Methods to mitigate this issue, such as advanced signal processing techniques and the use of multiple electrodes, are continuously being investigated [11].
- **Indirect Measurement:** EIP does not directly measure airflow. Sophisticated algorithms are required to estimate spirometry parameters (like FEV1 and FVC) from the impedance signal, introducing complexity and potential for inaccuracies.
- **Calibration and Validation:** Accurate estimation of spirometry parameters from EIP data requires careful calibration and validation against established spirometry techniques. Establishing robust calibration protocols and validating the accuracy of the derived parameters across diverse populations is an ongoing area of research.

While EIP's non-invasive nature is attractive for continuous home monitoring, overcoming the limitations related to motion artifacts and achieving comparable accuracy to traditional spirometry remains a substantial challenge.

3.5.3 Other Emerging Sensor Technologies

Research continues to investigate other sensor technologies for potential applications in portable spirometry. These include:

- **Ultrasonic flow sensors:** These sensors measure airflow using ultrasonic waves, offering potential advantages in terms of accuracy, miniaturization, and low power consumption.
- **Microelectromechanical systems (MEMS)-based pressure sensors:** MEMS technology allows for the fabrication of tiny, low-cost pressure sensors that could be incorporated into highly miniaturized spirometers.

These technologies are at earlier stages of development compared to pneumotachography and FBG sensors. Significant research and development are still needed to thoroughly evaluate their accuracy, reliability, and suitability for integrating into robust and cost-effective portable spirometry devices.

3.5.4 Summary Table

The following table provides a concise comparison of various sensor technologies discussed.

Table 1: Comparison of Sensor Technologies for Portable Spirometry

Sensor Technology	Measurement Principle	Advantages	Disadvantages	Suitability for Portable Spirometry
Pneumotachograph	Pressure difference	Established technology, relatively simple and inexpensive	Susceptible to contamination, may require frequent calibration	High
FBG Sensors	Optical strain measurement	High sensitivity, accuracy, robustness, EMI immunity	Relatively high cost, requires specialized interrogation systems	High (with cost reduction)
EIP	Electrical impedance change	Non-invasive, suitable for ambulatory	Susceptible to motion artifacts, indirect	Moderate (with artifact reduction)

		monitoring	measurement of airflow	
Ultrasonic / MEMS sensors	Ultrasonic waves / pressure	Potential for miniaturization, cost reduction, low power consumption	Emerging technologies, require further research and validation for reliability	High (with future development)

Reference to the comparison can be found in Table 1. The optimal sensor technology for portable spirometry depends on several factors, including cost, required accuracy, intended application (clinical or home use), and the desired features. Further research and development are needed to fully realize the potential of these emerging sensor technologies to improve the accuracy, cost-effectiveness, and accessibility of portable spirometry.

The optimal sensor technology for portable spirometry depends on several factors, including cost, required accuracy, intended application (clinical or home use), and the desired features. Further research and development are needed to fully realize the potential of these emerging sensor technologies to improve the accuracy, cost-effectiveness, and accessibility of portable spirometry.

3.6 Signal Processing and Analysis

The accuracy and reliability of any spirometry system, regardless of its underlying sensor technology, hinge critically on sophisticated signal processing and data analysis techniques. Raw sensor data inherently contains noise, artifacts, and inconsistencies that necessitate rigorous processing before clinically relevant parameters (FEV1, FVC, FEV1/FVC ratio, etc.) can be reliably extracted. The complexity of these signal processing and analysis steps varies considerably depending on the specific sensor technology (microphone-based, pressure-based, optical, etc.) and the desired level of accuracy and robustness.

3.6.1 Signal Acquisition and Preprocessing

The initial stage involves acquiring the raw sensor data, which is often analog in nature. This raw data is then converted into a digital format via an analog-to-digital converter (ADC). Subsequently, preprocessing steps are applied to enhance the signal quality and remove unwanted noise and artifacts. These steps are crucial for obtaining accurate and reliable results:

- **Analog-to-Digital Conversion (ADC):** The analog signal from the sensor (pressure, airflow, impedance, etc.) is converted into a digital representation using an ADC. The resolution of the ADC (number of bits) directly influences the precision of the digitized signal. Higher bit resolution results in finer quantization and improved accuracy. The sampling rate (samples per second) must be sufficiently high to capture the relevant frequency components of the respiratory signal, typically requiring several hundred samples per second.
- **Filtering:** Digital filters are applied to remove unwanted frequency components from the signal. The specific type of filter (e.g., low-pass, high-pass, band-pass, notch) is chosen based on the nature of the noise and the desired signal characteristics [15, 20]. For instance, a low-pass filter can remove high-frequency noise, while a notch filter can remove specific interfering frequencies. Careful filter design is crucial to avoid distorting the desired respiratory signal while effectively attenuating noise. Finite impulse response (FIR) and infinite impulse response (IIR) filter designs are commonly used, each with its own trade-offs in terms of computational complexity and filter characteristics.
- **Baseline Correction:** Baseline wander, or drift, is a common issue in physiological signals, particularly in long-duration recordings. This involves removing any slow, low-frequency variations in the baseline signal to isolate

changes related to respiration [15, 20]. Techniques such as polynomial fitting, median filtering, or other more sophisticated baseline correction algorithms can be employed. The selection of the appropriate baseline correction method depends on the characteristics of the baseline drift and the overall signal quality.

- **Artifact Removal:** Respiratory signals are often contaminated by artifacts arising from various sources: patient movement (especially significant in ambulatory EIP systems [11]), coughs, leaks in the system, or other external disturbances. These artifacts must be identified and removed or minimized to ensure accurate parameter estimation [11, 15]. Advanced techniques like wavelet denoising [7, 11], morphological filtering, or independent component analysis (ICA) are frequently employed to address this issue. The choice of artifact removal technique depends on the nature and characteristics of the artifacts and the trade-off between artifact removal and signal preservation.
- **Respiratory Cycle Segmentation:** The continuous respiratory signal is typically segmented into individ- ual breaths or respiratory cycles for subsequent analysis [10, 20]. This is crucial for extracting features and estimating parameters that are specific to each individual respiratory cycle. Automated segmentation algorithms are essential for efficient and reliable analysis of the large amounts of data commonly generated. These algorithms often utilize threshold-based methods, peak detection, or more advanced pattern recognition techniques to identify the boundaries of each respiratory cycle.

3.6.2 Feature Extraction

Once the signal has undergone preprocessing, relevant features that effectively capture the key characteristics of the respiratory pattern are extracted. The choice of features directly affects the accuracy and robustness of subsequent parameter estimation. Different feature sets may be considered depending on the specific application, clinical goals, and sensor technology:

- **Time-domain features:** These features directly describe the shape of the airflow waveform. Examples include peak flow (PEF), mean flow, inspiratory and expiratory durations, and various other measures reflecting the timing and magnitude of airflow [10, 20]. These features are relatively simple to compute but may not capture the full complexity of the respiratory pattern.
- **Frequency-domain features:** These features represent the frequency content of the signal using techniques like the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). Common frequency-domain features include the dominant frequencies of the respiratory signal, harmonic content, and spectral power in different frequency bands [10, 20]. Fre- quency analysis is often valuable for identifying underlying oscillatory patterns that may not be apparent in the time domain.
- **Time-frequency features:** These features provide a combined representation of both time and frequency characteristics of the signal [7, 15]. Techniques such as wavelet transforms or short-time Fourier transforms (STFTs) are often used. This approach is particularly useful for analysing non-stationary signals, where the frequency content changes over time. Wavelet transforms are effective in separating different frequency components within the signal while preserving temporal resolution, which is highly beneficial for identifying transient events or irregularities within the respiratory cycle.

The selection of an optimal feature set often involves experimentation and optimization to achieve the best performance in parameter estimation. Feature selection algorithms are sometimes used to identify the most informative subset of features, reducing computational complexity while maintaining accuracy.

3.6.3 Parameter Estimation and Model Development

The extracted features are subsequently used to estimate the clinically relevant spirometry parameters. This typically involves the development of a mathematical model or the application of machine learning algorithms.

DOI: 10.48047/HM.V11.I1.2025.706-729

- **Regression Models:** Simple linear or non-linear regression models can be used to relate the extracted features to spirometry parameters [14]. More sophisticated models, such as polynomial regression or generalized linear models, may be necessary to capture non-linear relationships. Model selection and parameter estimation involve careful statistical considerations to ensure accuracy and generalizability.
- **Machine Learning Algorithms:** Advanced machine learning (ML) techniques, such as Support Vector Machines (SVMs) [9], artificial neural networks (ANNs) [5], or deep learning models [21, 26], are increasingly employed to enhance the accuracy and robustness of parameter estimation. These algorithms can learn complex, non-linear relationships between the features and the spirometry parameters. Deep learning models, in particular, have shown promise in accurately estimating spirometry parameters even from noisy or incomplete data [21]. Model training involves careful selection of the algorithm, hyperparameter optimization, and cross-validation to prevent overfitting and ensure generalizability.

The development and validation of accurate and reliable parameter estimation models are crucial aspects of spirometry system development.

3.6.4 Quality Control and Data Validation

Prior to reporting the spirometry results, rigorous quality control (QC) checks are essential to ensure the validity and reliability of the measurements [10, 20]. These checks ensure that the measurements meet predefined quality criteria and identify any potential errors introduced by factors like patient technique, leaks in the system, or other artifacts. These criteria, often based on established guidelines from organizations like the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and European Respiratory Society (ERS) [24], specify acceptable ranges for various aspects of the spirometry maneuver, including the duration of the exhalation, the start and end points of the maneuver, and the overall shape of the flow-volume curve. Automated quality control algorithms are typically integrated into the spirometry software to assess the quality of each maneuver objectively and efficiently. These algorithms significantly enhance both the speed and accuracy of spirometry testing. Results that do not meet the predefined QC criteria are typically flagged for review or rejection, preventing erroneous data from being used for clinical decision-making.

The entire signal processing and analysis pipeline, from signal acquisition to quality control, directly impacts the accuracy and reliability of portable spirometry. Continuous improvements in sensor technology, signal processing algorithms, and machine learning models are crucial for developing more accurate, reliable, and user-friendly portable spirometry systems.

3.7 AI for Enhanced Accuracy and Reliability of Spirometry Measurements

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI), specifically machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) algorithms, has ushered in a new era of accuracy and reliability in portable spirometry. These advanced techniques directly address several fundamental challenges that have historically limited the precision and consistency of spirometry measurements, particularly in portable and home-based settings.

3.7.1 Addressing Inter- and Intra-Subject Variability

Human respiratory physiology exhibits considerable inter-subject variability, reflecting inherent differences in lung size, airway structure, and breathing patterns across individuals [9, 27]. Furthermore, intra-subject variability arises from inconsistencies in an individual's performance during repeated spirometry maneuvers. Factors such as effort level, timing of the maneuver, and even subtle variations in breathing technique can significantly influence the resulting measurements [21, 27]. Traditional spirometry approaches often struggle to fully account for this variability. However, AI algorithms, trained on large datasets encompassing diverse populations and multiple spirometry attempts, can learn these variations and incorporate this knowledge into the analysis.

- **Data-Driven Compensation:** ML models are trained to identify patterns and features associated with different levels of variability. For instance, the model learns to recognize variations in the initial phase of forced exhalation, the effort sustained throughout the maneuver, and the overall shape of the flow-volume curve. This learned understanding enables the model to adjust for these variations during the parameter estimation process, leading to more consistent results across different individuals and repeated measurements [21, 27].

- **Individualized Calibration:** In some advanced systems, AI can personalize the calibration of the spirometer to each individual patient. By analyzing the patient's unique breathing patterns, the algorithm can adjust the measurement parameters accordingly, further reducing the impact of inter-subject variability. This approach leads to more accurate and reliable measurements tailored to each individual's physiological characteristics.
- **Advanced Model Architectures:** The use of more advanced architectures, such as recurrent neural networks (RNNs) or long short-term memory networks (LSTMs) [21], can effectively capture the temporal dynamics of the respiratory signal, enhancing their ability to account for variations in the timing and effort during the spirometry maneuver.

3.7.2 Effective Utilization of Suboptimal Efforts

Traditional spirometry protocols typically involve multiple attempts, with only the "best" effort—according to predefined quality control (QC) criteria—being retained for analysis. Suboptimal efforts, often rejected due to premature termination, coughs, leaks, or insufficient effort, represent a significant loss of potentially valuable information [21]. AI algorithms provide a powerful means to effectively utilize these often-discarded data points.

- **Data Augmentation:** Suboptimal efforts can be considered a form of data augmentation. Instead of discarding them, AI algorithms can learn to extract relevant information even from noisy or incomplete data. This significantly expands the training dataset, improves model robustness, and may lead to more accurate parameter estimation, particularly for patients with difficulty performing optimal maneuvers.
- **Contrastive Learning:** The use of contrastive learning techniques has proven particularly effective in learning from suboptimal data [21]. This self-supervised learning approach trains the model to differentiate between spirometry efforts from the same individual and those from different individuals. This approach implicitly learns a representation of individual lung function that is relatively invariant to the noise and variations inherent in individual efforts.

3.7.3 Robust Noise Reduction and Artifact Mitigation

Real-world spirometry measurements are invariably affected by noise and artifacts stemming from various sources:

- **Ambient Noise:** Microphone-based systems are especially vulnerable to ambient noise, requiring advanced noise reduction techniques [15].
- **Patient Movement:** In ambulatory settings, patient movement introduces artifacts that can significantly affect measurement accuracy, especially in techniques like electrical impedance plethysmography (EIP) [11].
- **Other Artifacts:** Coughs, leaks in the system, and other disturbances can also contaminate the signal.

AI algorithms can effectively mitigate the impact of these artifacts:

- **Deep Learning for Noise Reduction:** Deep learning models, such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs), are adept at learning complex patterns and separating the desired respiratory signal from unwanted noise [26]. These models can identify and remove noise components while preserving the relevant information in the respiratory signal, leading to improved accuracy.
- **Artifact Detection and Correction:** AI can be used to automatically detect and correct for artifacts, such as coughs or leaks. Algorithms can learn to identify characteristic patterns associated with artifacts and either remove or adjust the signal accordingly, resulting in more reliable measurements.

3.7.4 Enhanced Parameter Estimation

AI algorithms consistently outperform traditional regression models in precisely estimating spirometry parameters [5, 9, 21]. Their capacity to learn complex, non-linear relationships between sensor data and clinical parameters leads to more accurate and reliable results.

- **Superior Predictive Performance:** Studies demonstrate that AI models, such as deep learning networks, often achieve higher accuracy and precision in estimating FEV1, FVC, and FEV1/FVC ratio compared to simpler statistical models [21]. This improved performance directly translates to more reliable diagnostic information.
- **Handling Non-Linear Relationships:** The relationship between sensor data (pressure, airflow, acoustic signals, etc.) and clinical spirometry parameters is often non-linear and complex. AI algorithms, especially deep learning models, excel at learning and modeling these complex relationships, providing better predictive capability than simpler linear models.

The utilization of AI in enhancing the accuracy and reliability of spirometry measurements marks a critical advancement in respiratory diagnostics. By effectively addressing sources of variability, utilizing previously discarded data, mitigating noise and artifacts, and improving parameter estimation, AI significantly contributes to creating more robust and reliable portable spirometry systems. However, the successful implementation of AI requires robust data sets and careful consideration of model interpretability and generalizability.

3.8 AI for Automated Interpretation and Clinical Decision Support

Beyond enhancing the accuracy of spirometry measurements, AI plays a crucial role in automating the interpretation of spirometry data and providing clinical decision support. This capability significantly improves the efficiency and accessibility of respiratory diagnostics, especially in resource-constrained settings or situations where access to expert pulmonologists is limited.

3.8.1 Automated Pattern Recognition and Classification

AI algorithms can automatically classify spirometry patterns into clinically relevant categories, such as normal, obstructive (indicative of conditions like asthma or COPD), or restrictive (suggesting diseases like interstitial lung disease or neuromuscular disorders) [10, 25]. This automated interpretation eliminates the need for manual review by trained professionals, streamlining the diagnostic process and making spirometry more accessible.

- **Machine Learning Classifiers:** Various machine learning (ML) classifiers, including support vector machines (SVMs) [9], decision trees, random forests, and artificial neural networks (ANNs) [5], have been successfully applied to this task. These algorithms learn patterns and relationships from large datasets of spirometry traces and corresponding clinical diagnoses. The training process involves feeding the algorithms labeled data—spirometry data paired with the corresponding clinical diagnosis—allowing the algorithms to learn the characteristics that distinguish between different patterns of lung function.
- **Deep Learning for Complex Pattern Recognition:** Deep learning models, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), have shown remarkable capabilities in recognizing complex and subtle patterns in spirometry data that may be difficult for human experts to discern [21, 26]. These models can effectively handle high-dimensional data, capturing nuances in the shape and characteristics of the airflow waveforms that are crucial for accurate classification. They can identify subtle differences that might be missed by simpler ML algorithms or manual interpretation.
- **Hierarchical Classification:** A hierarchical approach improves the accuracy and granularity of automated classification [6]. This involves a two-stage process: (1) a broad classification of healthy versus pathological lung function, followed by (2) a more refined classification within the pathological group, differentiating between obstructive and restrictive patterns. This approach mirrors the clinical diagnostic process, improving both the accuracy and clinical relevance of automated classification.

3.8.2 AI-Driven Disease Prediction and Risk Assessment

AI algorithms go beyond simple pattern recognition, enabling the prediction of respiratory diseases and the assessment of individual risk:

- **Predicting Disease Probability:** AI models can estimate the probability of a patient having a particular respiratory condition based on their spirometry data [25, 26]. This predictive capability provides valuable information for clinical decision-making, helping to prioritize patients for further investigation or treatment. For instance, AI can predict the probability of a smoker having undiagnosed COPD based on their pre-bronchodilator FEV1 values, aiding in early detection [25].
- **Assessing Future Risk:** Deep learning models, leveraging their ability to learn complex temporal patterns from longitudinal data, can even predict the future risk of developing respiratory diseases [26]. This prospective assessment allows for timely interventions aimed at preventing or delaying disease onset. Such predictive capabilities are invaluable for preventive medicine and personalized risk management.
- **Integrating Multiple Data Sources:** AI can seamlessly integrate spirometry data with other clinical informa- tion, such as patient demographics, medical history, and other physiological measurements, to enhance the accuracy and reliability of disease prediction. This holistic approach yields a more comprehensive assessment of a patient's respiratory health.

3.8.3 Clinical Decision Support Systems

AI-powered spirometry systems are evolving into sophisticated clinical decision support tools:

- **Automated Report Generation:** AI can automatically generate comprehensive spirometry reports, including classifications, disease probabilities, and personalized recommendations. This simplifies the reporting process and improves efficiency.
- **Alert Systems:** AI can trigger alerts to healthcare professionals when spirometry data indicates a significant change in lung function or a high risk of disease exacerbation [18]. This enables timely intervention and potentially prevents serious complications.
- **Remote Patient Monitoring:** The ability to transmit spirometry data remotely, combined with AI-driven interpretation, facilitates remote patient monitoring, enabling healthcare providers to track patient progress and adjust treatment plans as needed. This is especially beneficial for patients with chronic respiratory diseases requiring ongoing management.

3.8.4 Challenges and Future Directions (for AI Interpretation)

While the integration of AI in automated interpretation and clinical decision support offers significant promise, challenges remain:

- **Data Bias:** AI models are only as good as the data they are trained on. Biases in the training data can lead to inaccurate or biased predictions, especially in underrepresented populations. Efforts are needed to mitigate bias by using diverse and representative datasets.
- **Explainability and Transparency:** The complex nature of some AI models can make their decisions difficult to understand, raising concerns about transparency and clinical acceptance. The development of explainable AI (XAI) methods is crucial for building trust and facilitating clinical adoption.
- **Clinical Validation:** Rigorous clinical validation is essential to establish the clinical validity and reliability of AI-powered spirometry systems. This involves large-scale clinical trials comparing AI-based interpretation to expert human interpretation.

The automated interpretation and clinical decision support features offered by AI are transforming portable spirometry. Continued research and development will focus on addressing the current challenges and integrating these capabilities into comprehensive and user-friendly systems that improve respiratory care and enhance patient outcomes.

3.9 AI Algorithms for Spirometry Data Analysis

The application of AI in portable spirometry relies on a diverse range of algorithms, each with its strengths and weaknesses. The choice of algorithm often depends on the specific task (e.g., noise reduction, parameter estimation, disease classification), the nature of the data (e.g., signal type, data quality), and the desired level of accuracy and interpretability. This section details some of the most prevalent AI algorithms used in portable spirometry:

3.9.1 Support Vector Machines (SVMs)

SVMs are powerful supervised learning algorithms used for both classification and regression tasks [9]. In the context of spirometry, SVMs can be employed to:

- **Classify spirometry patterns:** SVMs can learn to distinguish between different patterns of lung function (normal, obstructive, restrictive) based on extracted features from the spirometry signal. They define a hyperplane that optimally separates these different classes in a high-dimensional feature space.
- **Estimate spirometry parameters:** SVMs can also be used for regression tasks, predicting spirometry parameters (FEV1, FVC, FEV1/FVC ratio) from the extracted features. This involves finding a hyperplane that best fits the relationship between the features and the target parameters.

Advantages of SVMs include their relative simplicity, effectiveness in high-dimensional spaces, and robustness to overfitting. However, the choice of kernel function (linear, polynomial, radial basis function, etc.) significantly affects performance and requires careful consideration. SVMs can also be computationally expensive for very large datasets.

3.9.2 Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)

ANNs are biologically inspired models that can learn complex non-linear relationships in data [5]. Different types of ANNs have been applied to spirometry, including:

- **Feedforward Neural Networks (FNNs):** These are simple, layered networks used for both classification and regression tasks. FNNs have been applied to predict spirometry parameters from various features.
- **Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs):** RNNs are particularly well-suited for analyzing sequential data, such as the time-series data generated by spirometry. RNNs, especially Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks [21], can effectively capture temporal dependencies within the respiratory signal, leading to improved accuracy in parameter estimation. They are especially useful in situations where the flow-volume curve exhibits complex temporal dynamics.

ANNs are known for their ability to learn intricate patterns and non-linear relationships in data. However, they can be prone to overfitting if not properly trained and regularized. The architecture of the ANN (number of layers, number of neurons per layer, activation functions) significantly influences performance and requires careful design and optimization. Training ANNs can also be computationally intensive, particularly for deep networks.

3.9.3 Deep Learning Models

Deep learning, a subfield of machine learning, employs artificial neural networks with multiple layers to learn hierarchical representations of data [21, 26]. Deep learning models have shown exceptional performance in various aspects of spirometry:

- **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs):** CNNs excel at processing images and spatial data. They can be applied to analyze the shape and characteristics of flow-volume loops, extracting relevant features for classification or regression.
- **Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and LSTMs:** As mentioned above, RNNs and LSTMs are effective in

handling time-series data and capturing temporal dynamics within the respiratory signal. This is crucial for accurately estimating spirometry parameters and classifying complex respiratory patterns.

- **Contrastive Learning Frameworks:** These self-supervised learning approaches have shown promise in leveraging all available spirometry data, including suboptimal efforts, to improve prediction accuracy [21]. They learn to distinguish between spirograms from the same individual and those from different individuals, implicitly learning features that are robust to variability and noise.

Deep learning models can achieve very high accuracy in spirometry data analysis. However, they require significantly more data for training and can be computationally expensive. Furthermore, their complex nature can make them less interpretable than simpler models, raising concerns about transparency and clinical acceptance.

3.9.4 Other Algorithms

Other algorithms, such as Gaussian Mixture Models (GMMs) [6] and k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN) [10], have also been employed in spirometry data analysis, primarily for classification tasks.

The choice of the optimal AI algorithm depends on several factors, including the specific task, data characteristics, computational resources, and the need for model interpretability. Ongoing research continues to explore and refine these algorithms, aiming to improve the accuracy, efficiency, and clinical utility of AI-powered portable spirometry systems. The trend is towards increasingly complex deep learning models that can learn intricate patterns and effectively handle noisy and incomplete data, though challenges remain in terms of generalizability, interpretability, and clinical validation.

3.10 Integrated Multi-Sensor Platforms and AI Fusion

The integration of multiple sensors into a single portable spirometry device, coupled with AI-driven data fusion techniques, represents a significant advancement in respiratory diagnostics. This approach moves beyond the limitations of single-parameter measurements by providing a more comprehensive and holistic assessment of respiratory health.

3.10.1 Expanding Beyond Single-Parameter Measurements

Traditional spirometry primarily focuses on airflow measurements (FEV1, FVC, etc.). However, a more comprehensive understanding of respiratory health requires considering additional physiological parameters and biomarkers. Integrated multi-sensor platforms address this limitation by incorporating various sensors, including [13, 18]:

- **Peak Expiratory Flow (PEF) meters:** PEF meters provide a simple and quick assessment of airflow, often used for monitoring asthma. Integrating PEF measurements into a comprehensive platform enhances the information available for assessing respiratory function.
- **Pulse Oximetry:** Measuring oxygen saturation (SpO_2) provides valuable information regarding oxygenation status, an important indicator of respiratory health. Integrating pulse oximetry into a spirometry system offers a more complete assessment of respiratory function.
- **ECG Sensors:** Electrocardiogram (ECG) sensors can detect cardiac arrhythmias and other cardiac events, which can be relevant in patients with respiratory conditions that impact cardiac function [18]. Integrating ECG provides a more comprehensive picture of the patient's overall physiological state.
- **Biomarker Sensors:** Sensors capable of detecting chemical biomarkers in exhaled breath, such as nitric oxide (NO), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), offer the potential for early detection of inflammatory processes and disease exacerbations [13]. These biomarkers provide additional insights into the underlying pathology of respiratory diseases.
- **Weight Sensors:** In conditions such as heart failure and COPD, weight changes can be an early indicator of fluid retention and disease progression [18]. Integrating a weight sensor provides valuable complementary data for

holistic respiratory health management.

3.10.2 AI-Driven Data Fusion

Integrating multiple sensor modalities generates a large and potentially complex dataset. AI-driven data fusion techniques play a crucial role in efficiently integrating this data into a coherent assessment of respiratory health [18]:

- **Sensor Data Preprocessing:** Individual sensor data streams undergo preprocessing to remove noise, artifacts, and inconsistencies. This ensures that only high-quality data is utilized for fusion.
- **Feature Extraction:** Relevant features are extracted from each sensor modality. For instance, time-domain and frequency-domain features may be extracted from the spirometry signal, while other relevant features can be obtained from the ECG, pulse oximetry, and biomarker sensor data.
- **Data Fusion Algorithms:** Various algorithms can be employed to integrate the features obtained from different sensors. These algorithms can be based on statistical methods (e.g., weighted averaging, Bayesian methods) or machine learning techniques (e.g., neural networks, ensemble methods). The choice of algorithm depends on the specific application and the desired level of complexity and interpretability.
- **Model Training and Validation:** The data fusion models are trained using labeled data that incorporates multiple sensor modalities and clinical diagnoses. Rigorous validation is essential to ensure that the integrated system performs accurately and reliably.

3.10.3 Enhanced Clinical Utility

Integrating multiple sensors and utilizing AI-driven data fusion results in several significant improvements in clinical utility:

- **Early Disease Detection:** Combining information from various sensors allows for the early detection of respiratory disease exacerbations or subtle changes indicative of disease progression [18]. This capability facilitates timely interventions and potentially prevents serious complications.
- **Improved Diagnostic Accuracy:** Data fusion enhances diagnostic accuracy by incorporating information that may not be apparent from single-parameter measurements. This integrated approach reduces diagnostic uncertainty and leads to more confident clinical decisions.
- **Personalized Medicine:** By providing a more comprehensive and personalized assessment of respiratory health, integrated multi-sensor platforms facilitate the development of individualized treatment plans. AI- driven algorithms can help identify patterns and relationships in the patient’s data to optimize treatment strategies.
- **Enhanced Patient Monitoring:** Integrated systems that enable remote data transmission and AI-driven analysis are ideal for remote patient monitoring. This proactive approach empowers healthcare providers to track patient progress, anticipate potential problems, and adjust treatment plans proactively.

4. COST-EFFECTIVENESS AND ACCESSIBILITY

A critical aspect of the evolution of portable spirometry is its cost-effectiveness and accessibility. Traditional spirometry systems, with their specialized equipment and requirement for trained personnel, present significant financial barriers, particularly in resource-limited settings. The development of portable spirometers aims to address this issue by reducing costs and improving access to lung function testing, thereby enhancing the early diagnosis and management of respiratory diseases.

4.1 Cost Comparison with Traditional Spirometry

The cost of traditional spirometry equipment can range from several hundred to several thousand dollars, depending on the features and manufacturer. This cost excludes the recurring expenses associated with calibration, maintenance, and the need for trained personnel to administer and interpret the tests. These factors contribute to high overall costs for healthcare facilities and often limit access to spirometry, especially in regions with limited healthcare resources. In contrast, portable spirometers aim to drastically reduce these costs. Smartphone-based solutions leverage existing smartphone hardware, significantly lowering the initial investment. For instance, MobSpiro [14] and SpiroSmart [15] require only the smartphone and the associated app, making them substantially more affordable than traditional spirometers. However, the development cost and ongoing maintenance costs for applications, particularly those incorporating advanced AI, might be considerable, but these would not be borne by the end-user in most cases.

While novel sensor technologies like Fiber Bragg Grating (FBG) [12] and electrical impedance plethysmography (EIP) [11] based systems initially might involve higher upfront costs due to the specialized sensor components, their potential for miniaturization and mass production could lead to significant cost reductions in the long term. The cost-effectiveness of these technologies ultimately depends on factors such as manufacturing scale, material costs, and the required complexity of the supporting electronics and data processing systems.

The estimated manufacturing cost of TeleSpiro [17], designed specifically for resource-limited settings, is cited as remarkably low. This demonstrates the potential for developing affordable spirometers suitable for low-income communities. However, the long-term durability and maintenance costs, including potential repair or replacement needs, must be assessed to fully evaluate the system's cost-effectiveness over its lifetime.

4.2 Factors Affecting Accessibility

Cost is just one of the factors impacting the accessibility of spirometry. Other aspects include:

- **Availability of Trained Personnel:** Traditional spirometry requires trained personnel to administer the test and interpret the results. The shortage of healthcare professionals, especially in underserved areas, restricts access to this crucial diagnostic tool. Portable spirometers, particularly smartphone-based systems, potentially mitigate this issue by simplifying the testing procedure and providing immediate results.
- **Infrastructure Requirements:** Traditional spirometry relies on well-equipped healthcare facilities with reliable power and connectivity. In contrast, portable systems are more adaptable to diverse settings. Smart- phones offer widespread access in many areas, potentially overcoming infrastructural limitations, particularly if offline functionality is implemented [14, 15].
- **User-Friendliness:** The ease of use and user interface of the device substantially influence accessibility. Intuitive apps, clear instructions, and minimal technical requirements enhance accessibility. A user-friendly design can help patients perform testing independently, reducing the dependence on healthcare providers [16, 21].
- **Cultural and Linguistic Factors:** Adapting spirometry devices to diverse cultural contexts and languages is necessary for achieving broad accessibility. This includes developing multilingual interfaces, designing the devices considering cultural norms, and ensuring appropriate instructions and communication materials are available.

4.3 Improving Accessibility through Cost-Effectiveness

Several strategies can improve the cost-effectiveness and accessibility of portable spirometry:

- **Open-source Hardware and Software:** Developing open-source designs and software can reduce manufacturing costs and facilitate wider adoption by allowing independent manufacturers to produce and distribute the devices.
- **Mass Production and Economies of Scale:** Large-scale manufacturing can significantly lower per-unit costs, making portable spirometers more affordable.
- **Strategic Partnerships:** Collaboration between research institutions, manufacturers, and healthcare organiza- tions

can streamline development, distribution, and implementation.

- **Government Funding and Subsidies:** Government support can provide funding for research, development, and distribution of affordable spirometry devices, particularly in resource-limited settings.

4.4 Conclusion on Cost and Accessibility

The development of portable spirometers represents a significant step toward improving the cost-effectiveness and accessibility of lung function testing. Smartphone-based systems and other innovative designs offer the potential to dramatically reduce costs and broaden access, particularly for underserved populations. However, ongoing efforts are needed to optimize cost, address infrastructural limitations, ensure user-friendliness, and promote equitable access to these devices globally. A holistic approach that considers both financial and logistical aspects is essential to realize the full potential of portable spirometry to improve respiratory healthcare worldwide.

5. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

5.1 Challenges and Future Directions in Portable AI-Powered Spirometry

The transformative potential of portable, AI-powered spirometry is undeniable, yet several significant challenges must be overcome before widespread adoption and seamless integration into routine clinical practice can be fully realized. These challenges span data acquisition, AI model development, clinical validation, and practical implementation. This section first details these key challenges and then outlines potential future research directions to address them.

5.1.1 Significant Challenges Hindering Widespread Adoption

The successful translation of AI-powered portable spirometry from research settings to routine clinical use faces several substantial hurdles:

Data Acquisition and Quality Control:

The very foundation of any AI-driven system rests upon the quality of the data used for training and validation. Portable spirometry presents unique challenges in acquiring high-quality data, impacting the accuracy, reliability, and generalizability of AI models:

- **High Degree of Variability and Noise Contamination:** Real-world spirometry data is inherently variable and often contaminated with noise and artifacts. This variability stems from multiple sources:

– *Patient-specific factors:* Inter-subject variability reflects inherent differences in lung size, airway resistance, breathing techniques, effort levels, and comorbidities [9, 21, 27]. Intra-subject variability arises from inconsistencies in the same individual's performance during repeated maneuvers. Patients may struggle to perform the maneuver correctly, leading to suboptimal or technically flawed efforts.

– *Environmental factors:* Ambient noise (especially problematic for microphone-based spirometry [15]), temperature fluctuations, and electromagnetic interference (EMI) introduce noise and artifacts into the sensor data.

– *Sensor limitations:* Imperfect sensor calibration, sensor drift, and limited dynamic range of the sensor contribute to measurement errors. The physical characteristics of the device and the chosen sensor technology directly impact the quality of the data.

– *Technical issues:* Leaks in the system, malfunctions of the device, and other technical problems result in erroneous or incomplete data.

Mitigating this high degree of variability and noise contamination requires a multifaceted approach. This includes: advanced signal processing techniques tailored to the specific sensor and data type; the development of robust noise reduction and artifact removal algorithms; potentially more sophisticated sensor technologies with improved accuracy and stability; and the establishment of standardized testing protocols to minimize user variability and ensure consistent data acquisition. While AI algorithms can help address some of these issues, their effectiveness is directly limited by the quality of the input data. Therefore, high-quality datasets are paramount for training robust and accurate AI models.

- **Bias and Lack of Representativeness in Existing Datasets:** Current datasets used for training AI models often lack sufficient diversity and may not adequately represent the broad spectrum of human respiratory physiology and disease prevalence across various demographics [21, 26]. This inherent bias can lead to inaccurate or biased predictions for underrepresented populations, potentially exacerbating healthcare disparities. Creating truly representative datasets necessitates careful data collection strategies, thoughtful sampling techniques, and focused efforts to ensure the inclusion of underrepresented groups in terms of age, gender, ethnicity, and disease severity.
- **Data Security and Privacy Concerns:** The increasing reliance on smartphones and cloud-based platforms for data storage and transmission raises significant concerns regarding data security and patient privacy [14, 17, 27]. Robust security measures are essential, including secure data encryption, anonymization techniques, and strict adherence to relevant data privacy regulations (like HIPAA and GDPR). Transparency regarding data usage and obtaining informed consent from patients are paramount ethical considerations.

AI Model Development and Deployment Challenges:

- **Lack of Model Interpretability and Explainability:** Many sophisticated AI models, especially deep learning networks, are often characterized as "black boxes" due to the complexity of their internal workings and decision-making processes [21, 26]. This lack of transparency hinders trust and adoption by clinicians who need to understand *why* a model produces a specific prediction. The development of explainable AI (XAI) methods is crucial for enhancing model transparency and facilitating clinical acceptance. XAI techniques might involve designing models that provide insights into the key features driving their predictions, using visualization tools to illustrate the model's reasoning, or employing simpler, more interpretable models even if this means accepting a slight reduction in predictive performance.
- **Generalizability and Robustness Issues:** AI models must generalize well to unseen data and diverse populations, exhibiting robustness across different devices, sensors, and clinical settings [21, 26]. Overfitting, where a model performs exceptionally well on training data but poorly on new, unseen data, is a significant risk. Mitigating overfitting requires careful techniques such as cross-validation, regularization, and thor- ough hyperparameter tuning to enhance model robustness. Extensive testing and validation across various populations and real-world clinical scenarios are critical for ensuring reliable performance in diverse settings.
- **Computational Constraints and Real-Time Performance:** For practical applications, especially portable devices with limited computational resources, AI algorithms must be computationally efficient, requiring minimal processing power and time to generate results. Optimization techniques are needed to ensure that AI models run smoothly and produce results rapidly, meeting the needs of real-time clinical applications [13].

5.1.2 Future Research Directions to Overcome Challenges and Advance the Field

Addressing the challenges outlined above requires a multifaceted research approach focusing on several key areas:

Enhancing Data Acquisition and Quality:

- **Advanced Sensor Technologies:** Research and development efforts should focus on creating novel sensor technologies that offer improved accuracy, reduced noise, and enhanced robustness. This includes exploring miniature, low-power, and highly sensitive sensors with wider dynamic ranges to better capture the subtleties of respiratory airflow [12, 13]. The development of sensors less susceptible to environmental interference and more resistant to damage or wear is also critical.

- **Improved Signal Processing Techniques:** Sophisticated signal processing algorithms are needed to effec- tively remove noise and artifacts from raw sensor data [11, 15]. This includes exploring advanced filtering methods (e.g., adaptive filtering, wavelet denoising), artifact detection and correction algorithms (e.g., ma- chine learning-based artifact identification), and techniques for handling missing or incomplete data. These techniques should be optimized for various sensor types and data acquisition conditions.
- **Standardized Testing Protocols:** Establishing standardized protocols for patient instruction, device calibra- tion, and data acquisition is essential to minimize user variability and ensure data consistency across different settings and populations [20]. This may involve developing detailed guidelines and training materials to ensure that patients perform spirometry maneuvers correctly. Standardized quality control (QC) checks are also crucial to identify and exclude flawed data, improving the reliability of the overall dataset.
- **Large-Scale, Diverse Data Collection Initiatives:** Creating large, high-quality, and diverse datasets is fundamental for training robust and generalizable AI models [21, 26]. This requires collaborative efforts involving multiple research institutions, healthcare providers, and potentially patient advocacy groups to ensure the inclusion of underrepresented populations and various disease subtypes. Careful consideration of data privacy and security is also crucial throughout the data collection and storage process.

Advancing AI Model Development and Deployment:

- **Explainable AI (XAI):** Research should focus on developing XAI methods to improve the interpretability and transparency of AI models [26]. This may involve exploring simpler model architectures that are inherently more interpretable, designing models that provide clear explanations of their decisions, or developing visualization tools to illustrate the model's reasoning process. Clinicians need to understand the basis for AI predictions to trust and effectively utilize them in clinical practice.
- **Robustness and Generalizability:** Efforts are needed to develop AI models that are robust to noise, variability, and diverse data characteristics, generalizing well to unseen data and different populations [21, 26]. This involves exploring advanced regularization techniques, employing ensemble methods that combine multiple models to improve robustness, and thoroughly testing models across diverse populations and clinical scenarios.
- **Efficient Model Architectures:** Research into computationally efficient AI model architectures is critical for deploying AI on resource-constrained portable devices [13]. This may involve designing specialized neural network architectures, employing model compression techniques, or exploring alternative computing platforms such as edge computing. Real-time performance is often essential for point-of-care applications.

Ensuring Clinical Validation and Seamless Integration:

- **Rigorous Clinical Trials:** Large-scale, well-designed clinical trials are necessary to demonstrate the clinical validity and effectiveness of AI-powered spirometry systems [21, 26, 27]. These trials should compare the performance of AI-based interpretation to that of expert human interpretation across diverse populations and disease severities. The clinical impact on patient outcomes, healthcare utilization, and cost-effectiveness should also be carefully assessed.
- **Integration with Existing Healthcare Systems:** Developing standardized data exchange protocols and user-friendly interfaces is crucial for seamless integration with EHR systems and existing clinical workflows [1, 17, 18]. This will improve the usability and adoption of AI-powered spirometry systems by clinicians.
- **User-Centered Design and Training Programs:** Designing user-friendly devices and developing compre- hensive training programs for clinicians and patients are essential to improve user acceptance and ensure proper

device utilization [16, 18].

By addressing these challenges through focused research and development, the transformative potential of portable AI-powered spirometry can be fully realized, improving respiratory care access, accuracy, and efficiency worldwide. This multidisciplinary approach will enable the development of reliable, robust, and user-friendly systems that will improve patient outcomes and enhance the efficiency of respiratory care.

6. CONCLUSION

This review has explored the evolution of portable and AI-driven spirometry, highlighting the significant progress made in developing affordable and accessible solutions for assessing lung function. The integration of smartphone technology, novel sensor modalities, and advanced AI algorithms has led to the creation of devices that are more compact, user-friendly, and potentially less expensive than traditional spirometers. Smartphone-based systems, in particular, have shown promise in increasing accessibility, particularly in resource-limited settings where access to traditional spirometry is often restricted. The use of AI significantly enhances the accuracy and efficiency of data analysis, enabling automated disease classification and the potential for personalized treatment recommendations. Despite the considerable advancements, challenges remain. Ensuring accuracy across diverse populations, addressing data security and privacy concerns, developing user-friendly interfaces with adequate training materials, and facilitating seamless integration with existing healthcare systems are crucial for the widespread adoption of these innovative technologies. Furthermore, rigorous validation studies with large and diverse patient cohorts are necessary to establish the clinical equivalence of portable spirometers to traditional methods and to identify any potential biases in AI algorithms.

Looking forward, continued research and development in several key areas hold great potential to further improve the accessibility, affordability, and clinical utility of portable spirometry: miniaturization and low-power consumption of sensors; the development of more robust, accurate, and interpretable AI algorithms; the creation of user-centric designs that enhance patient engagement and compliance; and the seamless integration of these devices with existing telehealth platforms and electronic health record (EHR) systems. By overcoming the existing limitations and fostering collaboration among researchers, manufacturers, healthcare providers, and regulatory bodies, we can accelerate the global adoption of affordable and accessible spirometry, ultimately leading to earlier diagnosis, improved disease management, and better health outcomes for individuals with chronic respiratory diseases worldwide.

REFERENCES

[1] H. Al Rasyid, M. Udin, Kemalasari, M. Sulistiyo, and S. Sukaridhoto, ‘Design and Development of a Portable Spirometer’, Proc. 2018 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics-Taiwan (ICCE-TW), 2018, pp. 1–2.

[2] X. Yuan, J. Zhou, B. Huang, Y. Wang, C. Yang, and W. Gui, ‘Hierarchical quality-relevant feature representation for soft sensor modeling: A novel deep learning strategy’, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 16(6), 2019, pp. 3721–3730.

[3] X. Gao, S. Lin, and T. Y. Wong, ‘Automatic feature learning to grade nuclear cataracts based on deep learning’, IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 62(11), 2015, pp. 2693–2701.

[4] L. Nandakumar and P. Nandakumar, ‘A novel algorithm for spirometric signal processing and classification by evolutionary approach and its implementation on an ARM embedded platform’, Proc. 2013 International

Conference on Control Communication and Computing (ICCC), 2013, pp. 384–387.

[5] A. Badnjevic´, L. Gurbeta, M. Cifrek, and D. Marjanovic, 'Classification of asthma using artificial neural network', Proc. 2016 39th International Convention on Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics (MIPRO), 2016, pp. 387–390.

[6] I. Sen, M. Saraclar, and Y. P. Kahya, 'A comparison of SVM and GMM-based classifier configurations for diagnostic classification of pulmonary sounds', IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 62(7), 2015, pp. 1768–1776.

[7] J. S. Reynolds et al., 'Classification of voluntary cough airflow patterns for prediction of abnormal spirometry', IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, 20(3), 2015, pp. 963–969.

[8] R. Karakıs¸, ˙I. Gu¨ler, and A. H. Is¸ık, 'Feature selection in pulmonary function test data with machine learning methods', Proc. 2013 21st Signal Processing and Communications Applications Conference (SIU), 2013, pp. 1–4.

[9] J. Khubani and M. R. Mhetre, 'Spirometric data analysis by support vector machine', Proc. 2012 1st International Symposium on Physics and Technology of Sensors (ISPTS-1), 2012, pp. 121–124.

[10] M. J. Tsai, R. L. Pimmel, and J. F. Donohue, 'Automatic classification of spirometric data', IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, (5), 1979, pp. 293–298.

[11] H. A. Khan, A. Gore, J. Ashe, and S. Chakrabartty, 'Virtual spirometry and activity monitoring using multichannel electrical impedance plethysmographs in ambulatory settings', IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems, 11(4), 2017, pp. 832–848.

[12] S. Ambastha, S. Umesh, U. Maheshwari, and S. Asokan, 'Pulmonary function test using fiber Bragg grating spirometer', Journal of Lightwave Technology, 34(24), 2016, pp. 5682–5688.

[13] A. M. Kwan et al., 'Personal lung function monitoring devices for asthma patients', IEEE Sensors Journal, 15(4), 2014, pp. 2238–2247.

[14] F. Zubaydi, A. Sagahyroon, F. Aloul, and H. Mir, 'MobSpiro: Mobile based spirometry for detecting COPD', Proc. 2017 IEEE 7th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC), 2017, pp. 1–4.

[15] E. C. Larson, M. Goel, G. Boriello, S. Heltshe, M. Rosenfeld, and S. N. Patel, 'SpiroSmart: using a microphone to measure lung function on a mobile phone', Proc. 2012 ACM Conference on Ubiquitous Computing, 2012, pp. 280–289.

[16] A. Kassem, M. Hamad, and C. El Moucary, 'A smart spirometry device for asthma diagnosis', Proc. 2015 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2015, pp. 1629–1632.

[17] C. W. Carspecken, C. Arteta, and G. D. Clifford, 'TeleSpiro: A low-cost mobile spirometer for resource-limited settings', Proc. 2013 IEEE Point-of-Care Healthcare Technologies (PHT), 2013, pp. 144–147.

[18] L. Fanucci et al., 'Advanced multi-sensor platform for chronic disease home monitoring', Proc. 2015 IEEE International Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference (I2MTC) Proceedings, 2015, pp. 646–651.

[19] R. Carta, D. Turgis, B. Hermans, P. Jourand, R. Onclin, and R. Puers, 'A differential pressure approach to spirometry', Proc. 2007 IEEE Biomedical Circuits and Systems Conference, 2007, pp. 5–8.

[20] R. Alejos-Palomares, J. M. R. Cortes, and N. Dominguez-Martinez, 'Digital spirometer with LabView interface', Proc. 18th International Conference on Electronics, Communications and Computers (CONIELECOMP

2008), 2008, pp. 105–110.

[21] D. Hill et al., ‘Deep learning utilizing suboptimal spirometry data to improve lung function and mortality prediction in the UK Biobank’, medRxiv, 2023.

[22] L. Beverin, M. Topalovic, A. Halilovic, P. Desbordes, W. Janssens, and M. De Vos, ‘Predicting total lung capacity from spirometry: a machine learning approach’, Frontiers in Medicine, 10, 2023, p. 1174631.

[23] R. Anand et al., ‘Unsupervised home spirometry versus supervised clinic spirometry for respiratory disease: a systematic methodology review and meta-analysis’, European Respiratory Review, 32(169), 2023.

[24] X. Yang, ‘Application and Prospects of Artificial Intelligence Technology in Early Screening of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease at Primary Healthcare Institutions in China’, International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, 19, 2024, pp. 1061–1067.

[25] A. D. R. Ferna´ndez, D. R. Ferna´ndez, V. G. Iglesias, and D. M. Jorquera, ‘Analyzing the use of artificial intelligence for the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)’, International Journal of Medical Informatics, 158, 2022, p. 104640.

[26] S. Mei et al., ‘Deep Learning for Detecting and Early Predicting Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease from Spirogram Time Series: A UK Biobank Study’, arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.03239, 2024.

[27] D. Talwar et al., ‘Comparison of a portable, pneumotach flow-sensor–based spirometer (SpirofyTM) with the vitalograph alpha TouchTM spirometer in evaluating lung function in healthy individuals, asthmatics, and COPD patients—a randomized, crossover study’, BMC Pulmonary Medicine, 24(1), 2024, p. 230.

[28] B.-S. Kim, S.-H. Park, S.-S. Jung, H.-J. Kim, S.-D. Woo, and M.-M. Lee, ‘Validity Study for Clinical Use of Hand-Held Spirometer in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease’, Healthcare, 12(5), 2024, p. 507.